Please Note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy. INDEX AGENDA ITEMS: APRIL 27, 1999: PAGE I. CALL TO ORDER 5 II. ROLL CALL AND DECLARATION OF QUORUM 7 III. OPENING REMARKS TV. REPORTS AND PRESENTATIONS Oral Reports From Board Members 8 Oral Report on the Status of the 21st Century Policy Development Process 10 Oral Report from the Executive Director and Executive Staff 11 V. CONTINUED BUSINESS AGENDA ITEMS 10 VI. CONSENT AGENDA 10 VII. NEW BUSINESS AGENDA ITEMS 14 Agenda Item 2: Consideration of a Revised Solid Waste Facility Permit for the Kiefer Landfill, Sacramento County 14 Agenda Item 3: Consideration of a Revised Solid Waste Facility Permit for the Johnson Canyon Sanitary Landfill, Monterey County 17 Agenda Item 4: Consideration of a Revised Solid Waste Facility Permit for the Davis Street Transfer Station, Alameda County 21 Agenda Item 5: Consideration of the Issuance of a New Major Waste Tire Facility Permit for Lakin Tire West, Inc., Los Angeles County 30 Agenda Item 6: Consideration of the Issuance of a New Standardized Solid Waste Facility Permit and Adoption of a Negative Declaration for Grover Landscaping Services, Stanislaus County 35

CALIFORNIA SHORTHAND REPORTING

TUESDAY, APRIL 27, 1999

9:30 O'CLOCK A.M.

I. CALL TO ORDER

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN EATON: GOOD MORNING, EVERYONE, AND WELCOME TO THE APRIL 27TH MEETING OF THE CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD.

---000---

A FEW NOTES. THIS WILL BE THE

FIRST DAY OF A THREE-DAY BOARD MEETING. FOR

MANY OF YOU, YOU KNOW AS WE GET TOWARDS THE END OF OUR

FISCAL YEAR IT'S ONLY THE MIDDLE OF THE CALENDAR-WISE, BUT

FISCALLY IT -- AS IT COMES TO THE MIDDLE THERE'LL BE MORE

AND MORE BUSINESS AT HAND. WE'VE TRIED TO MAKE IT EASY ON

THE PEOPLE WHO MAY COME BEFORE THE BOARD BY SEGREGATING THE

MATTERS OUT OVER THREE DAYS, SO THAT YOU'RE NOT SITTING HERE

WAITING FOR YOUR ITEM TO COME UP AND BECAUSE ANOTHER ITEM

HAS TAKEN LONGER YOU'LL HAVE TO COME BACK A SECOND DAY. SO,

PART OF THE CALENDARING IS TO AVOID THOSE SORTS OF

SITUATIONS.

II. ROLL CALL AND DECLARATION OF QUORUM

20 CHAIRMAN EATON: SO, WITH THAT IN MIND, MADAM 21 SECRETARY, WOULD YOU PLEASE CALL THE ROLL?

THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBERS JONES?

MEMBER JONES: HERE.

THE SECRETARY: PENNINGTON?

CALIFORNIA SHORTHAND REPORTING

MEMBER PENNINGTON: HERE.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

THE SECRETARY: ROBERTI?

MEMBER ROBERTI: HERE.

THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN EATON?

CHAIRMAN EATON: HERE.

ALL RIGHTY. ANY BOARD MEMBERS HAVE ANY EXPARTES? I'LL START WITH MY COLLEAGUE ON MY LEFT, ONLY FOR IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES ONLY, MR. PENNINGTON, NOT PHILOSOPHICAL.

MEMBER PENNINGTON: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN. I
HAD AN E-MAIL FROM GARY LISK (PHON) ON AGENDA ITEM 27 IN
SUPPORT OF THE BOARD'S SPONSORSHIP OF SECOND CHANCE WEEK.
MET WITH KEVIN HADRICK (PHON) WITH DEL NORTE COUNTY
REGARDING THE RURAL COOPERATIVE CONTRACT IN ITEM NO. 20.
THE LETTER FROM FRANK LYNCH, SUPERINTENDENT OF WEST SONOMA
COUNTY UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT REGARDING ITEM 32. AND I
WAS JUST HANDED A LETTER FROM CREEKMAN AND OWINGS (PHON) OF
SACRAMENTO COUNTY THAT IS ADDRESSED TO YOU, AND I HAVEN'T
READ IT YET.

CHAIRMAN EATON: AND JUST FOR THE RECORD, THAT IS

JUST TO ME, AND HAS BEEN PASSED OUT TO EACH AND EVERY BOARD

MEMBER REGARDING ITEM NO. 2 ON TODAY'S AGENDA.

MR. JONES.

MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, JUST TWO QUICK ONES,

THE REST OF MINE ARE UP TO DATE. JUST A QUICK HELLO TO STEVE MAGUIN AND TALKING WITH JIM CERMAK FROM SACRAMENTO COUNTY.

CHAIRMAN EATON: SENATOR ROBERTI, ANYTHING?

MEMBER ROBERTI: JUST THAT LAST FRIDAY, LAST

THURSDAY, EXCUSE ME, I SPOKE TO GLASMA ON ITEMS OF CONCERN

TO THEM AT THEIR BIMONTHLY MEETING.

CHAIRMAN EATON: GREAT. AND I'M UP TO DATE ON ALL MY EX PARTES WITH THE LETTER FROM THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO.

III. OPENING REMARKS

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

THERE ARE SPEAKER SLIPS ON THE BACK TABLE. IF YOU'LL KINDLY FILL THEM OUT, AND HELP US OUT BY PUTTING THE SPECIFIC NUMBER OF THE ITEM THAT WILL BE HELPFUL. IF YOU'RE GOING TO SPEAK ON MORE THAN ONE ITEM, IF YOU COULD LIST ALL OF THOSE ITEMS ON THAT SLIP AS WELL. AND ONCE YOU'VE DONE THAT TASK, THAT EASY TASK, YOU COULD BRING THEM UP TO LISA DOMINGUEZ AND SHE'LL MAKE SURE THAT SHE PASSES THEM ON TO MYSELF, AND THAT WAY WE CAN RECOGNIZE YOU ON THE PARTICULAR MATTER THAT YOU CARE TO SPEAK ON.

IV. REPORTS AND PRESENTATIONS

ORAL REPORTS FROM BOARD MEMBERS

CHAIRMAN EATON: I'LL START WITH MY LEFT AGAIN,

AND ANY BOARD MEMBERS HAVE ANY REPORTS THAT THEY'D LIKE TO

BRING UP THIS MORNING? MR. PENNINGTON?

MEMBER PENNINGTON: NOT I, MR. CHAIRMAN.

CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. JONES?

MEMBER JONES: NO.

CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. ROBERTI?

MEMBER ROBERTI: NO.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY. WELL, I HAVE JUST A COUPLE THINGS TO REPORT. A WEEK AGO, IT SEEMS LIKE A WEEK AGO BUT PROBABLY LONGER, I DID GO DOWN AND GIVE A SPEECH TO THE SAN JOAQUIN REGIONAL BOARD OF SUPERVISORS REGARDING SOLID WASTE AND THE 21ST CENTURY PROJECT, AS WELL AS SOME ISSUES THAT PERTAIN TO THE CENTRAL VALLEY REGARDING THEIR AGRICULTURAL WASTE, AND SO ON AND SO FORTH. AND I THINK IT'S AN ISSUE THAT THEY ARE CONCERNED WITH AS IT RELATES TO BIO-SOLIDS AND SOME OF THE OTHERS, AND SO I THINK WE'LL BE SEEING SOME OF THOSE MATTERS COMING BEFORE US IN THE FUTURE.

IN ADDITION, LAST WEEK I HAD THE PRIVILEGE OF GOING DOWN AND ATTENDING A MEETING IN MONTEREY REGARDING THE DECONSTRUCTION OF FORT ORD AND OUR PARTICIPATION BOTH IN THE DECONSTRUCTION PHASE, AS PART OF OUR PRIORITY TEAMS OF C&D, AND THEN EVENTUALLY GETTING INTO THE GREEN BUILDING AREA.

AND EACH OF THOSE PROJECTS, OR THE PROJECT AT FORT ORD THAT -- THE DIFFERENT PHASES THEY WOULD LIKE FOR THE BOARD TO COME AND THEY, HOPEFULLY, WILL MAKE A PROPOSAL TO US WITH

THAT. THAT'S SORT OF WHERE WE ARE.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

I WOULD LIKE TO SAY ONE OTHER THING. EVEN
THOUGH WE WENT THROUGH THE EX PARTES TODAY, WE DO HAVE
SOMEWHAT OF A PARTE HERE AND I THINK THAT, YOU KNOW, THE
HOUSE IS REFLECTIVE OF THAT. I WOULD LIKE TO ACKNOWLEDGE
THAT MY COLLEAGUE MR. JONES' BIRTHDAY IS TODAY, SO AT THE
APPROPRIATE TIME, IF YOU COULD WISH HIM WELL THAT WOULD BE I
THINK GREATLY APPRECIATED BY SOMEONE AS YOUTHFUL AS HE.

DO YOU CARE TO MAKE ANY REPORT --

MEMBER JONES: I'M STILL A BABY.

CHAIRMAN EATON: DO YOU CARE TO MAKE ANY REPORT --

MEMBER JONES: I'M STILL A BABY IN THIS GROUP.

CHAIRMAN EATON: WOULD YOU LIKE TO MAKE A REPORT

ON THE STATUS OF YOUR LIFE AT THIS POINT? OKAY.

ORAL REPORT ON THE STATUS OF THE 21ST CENTURY
POLICY DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

CHAIRMAN EATON: WITH REGARD TO THE 21ST CENTURY
REPORT THAT'S ALWAYS ON THE AGENDA, NOTHING FURTHER OTHER
THAN THE FACT THAT WE'RE UNDERGOING A SECOND PHASE
EXAMINATION OF THE NEXT STEPS TO TAKE, AND WE SHOULD HAVE
SOMETHING TO REPORT BACK I THINK WITHIN THE NEXT 60 DAYS, IN
TERMS OF THE NEXT COURSE OF ACTION, AND BRINGING SOME OF THE
MATTERS BACK TO NOT ONLY THE BOARD BUT TO THE GROUPS.

V. CONTINUED BUSINESS AGENDA ITEMS

CHAIRMAN EATON: WE DON'T HAVE ANY AGENDA ITEMS UNDER THE CONTINUING BUSINESS AGENDA SECTION.

VI. CONSENT AGENDA

CHAIRMAN EATON: WITH REGARD TO THE CONSENT
CALENDAR, WE WILL PUT OFF CONSIDERATION OF THE CONSENT
CALENDAR TILL TOMORROW, AND THE REASON FOR THAT IS THAT ALL
OF THE MATTERS THAT PERTAIN TO THE CONSENT CALENDAR ARE ON
TOMORROW'S SCHEDULE. THEREFORE, IF ANY INDIVIDUAL WOULD
CARE TO SPEAK ON THOSE MATTERS, BY US TAKING UP THE CONSENT
CALENDAR, THAT WOULD IN ESSENCE FORECLOSE ANY POSSIBILITY OF
HAVING THOSE INDIVIDUALS SPEAK ON THAT MATTER TOMORROW.
SINCE ALL OF THEM ARE ON TOMORROW'S CALENDAR WE WON'T TAKE
UP THAT UNTIL THE BEGINNING OF TOMORROW'S MEETING.

VII. NEW BUSINESS AGENDA ITEMS

CHAIRMAN EATON: WITH REGARD TO TODAY'S AGENDA, IT PROPOSES TO BE LONG. WE DO HAVE A SPECIAL HEARING THAT IS SCHEDULED TO BEGIN AT 1:30 REGARDING A TIRE REVOCATION. WE WILL TAKE THE APPROPRIATE STEPS TO ENGAGE IN THAT HEARING, AND THAT WILL BEGIN AT 1:30 PROMPTLY, PER OUR REQUEST.

NOW IF WE CAN MOVE TO TODAY'S REGULAR AGENDA

-- DID ANY OTHER MEMBER HAVE ANYTHING ELSE THEY CARE TO SAY?

OKAY.

WITHOUT FURTHER ADIEU WE'LL GO RIGHT TO THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT.

IV. REPORTS AND PRESENTATIONS (RESUMED)

ORAL REPORT FROM THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR AND

EXECUTIVE STAFF

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MR. CHANDLER: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN AND
MEMBERS. AS YOU HAVE ALREADY MENTIONED THIS MORNING, WE DO
HAVE A FULL AGENDA FOR THE NEXT THREE DAYS, BUT THERE ARE A
FEW THINGS I'D LIKE TO QUICKLY BRING TO YOUR ATTENTION.

FIRST OF ALL, I'D LIKE TO REMIND YOU AND THE AUDIENCE OF A MAJOR UPCOMING EVENT, THE FOURTH ANNUAL STATEWIDE HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE CONFERENCE WHICH WILL BE HELD AT GRANLIBAKKEN CONFERENCE CENTER IN TAHOE CITY ON MAY 18TH THROUGH THE 21ST. THE CONFERENCE IS SPONSORED BY THE BOARD, CAL EPA, AND THE DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCE CONTROL, AND IS DESIGNED TO PROVIDE INFORMATION ON HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ISSUES TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS, BUSINESSES, VENDORS, NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS AND OTHER STATE AGENCIES.

THIS YEAR THE THEME IS BUILDING POLLUTION

PREVENTION PARTNERSHIPS, AND WILL INCLUDE A PANEL ON

EDUCATION, MOTIVATING BEHAVIOR CHANGE, AND WILL INCLUDE

PANELS, AS WELL, ON PROMOTING RE-REFINED OIL. MR. ED

LOWERY, THE NEW DIRECTOR OF DTSC, AND A REPRESENTATIVE FROM

THE BOARD WILL GIVE THE OPENING REMARKS.

I'D ALSO LIKE TO INFORM YOU THAT STAFF HAS

JUST COMPLETED A MAJOR UPGRADE TO THE BOARD'S AWARD-WINNING

WEB SITE. AS YOU KNOW, WE RECENTLY JUST PUT IN PLACE THE COMPLETE AUTOMATION OF ALL OF OUR AGENDA ITEMS ON OUR HOME PAGE, WEB SITE, AND THIS NEXT STEP IS TO BRING A NEW LOOK TO THE HOME PAGE. AFTER THE PAST YEAR THE WHOLE SITE -- OVER THE LAST YEAR THE WHOLE SITE WAS RESTRUCTURED TO MAKE IT EASIER TO USE AND MAINTAIN, AND IN THE PAST MONTH THE SITE HAS TAKEN ON A NEW OR CONSISTENT LOOK. THE NEW HOME PAGE DESIGN SHOULD MAKE IT EASIER FOR OUR USERS TO FIND THE INFORMATION THEY NEED.

THE BOARD'S WEB SITE NOW INCLUDES MORE THAN 2600 PAGES. IT CONTINUES TO GAIN IN USAGE BY OUR CUSTOMERS, WE'RE GETTING APPROXIMATELY 90,000 HITS PER MONTH AND, THEREFORE, ON A TRACK TO EXCEED ONE MILLION HITS THIS YEAR.

2.2

THE NEXT STEP WILL BE REFINING AND ADDING TO
THE CONTENT AND APPLYING WITH THE NEW LOOK A NAVIGATION TOOL
BAR TO THE REST OF THE PAGES.

A LOT OF STAFF FROM AROUND THE BOARD WORKED

VERY HARD ON MAKING THIS UPGRADE A REALITY, BUT A PARTICULAR

RECOGNITION SHOULD GO TO CHRIS ALLEN IN OUR INFORMATION

MANAGEMENT BRANCH AND PAIGE LETTINGTON IN THE OFFICE OF

PUBLIC AFFAIRS. BOTH SPEARHEADED THIS EFFORT FOR US.

FINALLY, CHANNEL 3 HERE IN SACRAMENTO

RECENTLY AIRED A SIX-MINUTE SEGMENT ON RECYCLING AS PART OF

ITS "CALIFORNIA 2000" SERIES. AMONG THE INDIVIDUALS

INTERVIEWED WERE CHAIRMAN EATON AND BOARD MEMBER JONES.

BECAUSE THE PIECE WAS A COMPREHENSIVE OVERVIEW OF WASTE

MANAGEMENT ISSUES I'D LIKE TO SHOW IT TO THE BOARD AND THOSE

IN THE AUDIENCE WHO DIDN'T HAVE A CHANCE TO SEE IT.

SO, JOHN, WOULD YOU MIND CUING THAT UP?

AND THAT WILL CONCLUDE MY REMARKS. THANK

YOU.

CHAIRMAN EATON: THANK YOU, MR. CHANDLER.

(THE BOARD AND AUDIENCE VIEW VIDEO TAPE ON RECYCLING.)

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

10

VII. NEW BUSINESS AGENDA ITEMS (RESUMED)

AGENDA ITEM 2: CONSIDERATION OF A REVISED

SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT FOR THE KIEFER

LANDFILL, SACRAMENTO COUNTY

MR. DIER: MR. CHAIRMAN, DON DIER, MANAGER OF THE PERMITTING AND INSPECTION BRANCH. JOHN WHITEHILL OF STAFF WILL MAKE A PRESENTATION.

CHAIRMAN EATON: ALL RIGHT. MR. WHITEHILL.

MR. WHITEHILL: GOOD MORNING, I'M JON WHITEHILL OF
THE BOARD'S PERMITTING AND INSPECTION BRANCH. I'LL BE
INTRODUCING THE PERMIT, SUMMARIZING THE PROJECT, AND
PRESENTING STAFF'S FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS THIS
MORNING.

CALIFORNIA SHORTHAND REPORTING

THIS PERMIT WAS LAST REVISED IN 1995 AFTER SACRAMENTO COUNTY COMPLETED AN EIR FOR AN INCREASE IN THE DAILY TONNAGE, AN INCREASE IN THE HEIGHT OF THE FILL AREA, AND A LATERAL FOOTPRINT EXPANSION OF THE FILL AREA.

HOWEVER, THE 1995-ISSUED PERMIT ONLY ALLOWED THE DAILY TONNAGE INCREASE -- EXCUSE ME.

MS. NAUMAN: MR. CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS, JULIE
NAUMAN, DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF THE PERMITTING AND ENFORCEMENT
BRANCH. BEFORE STAFF PROCEEDS ANY FURTHER -- WHICH, YOU
KNOW, WE CAN GO THROUGH THE FULL STAFF REPORT IF YOU SO
DESIRE -- BUT I WANTED TO DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO A LETTER WE
RECEIVED DATED APRIL 26, 1999, ADDRESSED TO THE CHAIRMAN
FROM SACRAMENTO, SINGED BY JAMES CERMAK AND RICH OWENS. AND
IT READS:

"THE SACRAMENTO COUNTY WASTE MANAGEMENT
RECYCLING DIVISION AGREES TO WAIVE THE 60-DAY TIME
LINE TO HEAR THE KIEFER LANDFILL PERMIT REVISION
THAT WAS SCHEDULED FOR THE BOARD'S APRIL 27, 1999,
MEETING. THIS IS CONTINGENT UPON THIS PERMIT
BEING HEARD AND THE DECISION VOTED ON AT YOUR MAY
6, 1999, BOARD MEETING."

SO, I BRING THIS TO YOUR ATTENTION, YOU MAY WISH TO ADDRESS THE COUNTY'S REQUEST TO WAIVE TIME AND TO HEAR THIS ITEM AT YOUR MAY 6TH MEETING RATHER THAN TO

PROCEED WITH THE COMPLETE STAFF REPORT.

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

CHAIRMAN EATON: ARE REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE COUNTY HERE?

MS. NAUMAN: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN, MR. CERMAK IS HERE.

MR. CERMAK: JIM CERMAK WITH THE LEA. AND MR.

PATRICK MAXFIELD (PHON) FROM WASTE MANAGEMENT RECYCLING IS

ALSO HERE.

CHAIRMAN EATON: AND THE LETTER THAT WAS HANDED TO US JUST AT THE START OF THIS MEETING IS ONE IN WHICH THE COUNTY -- I KNOW IT'S SIGNED BY YOU, BUT UNDERSTAND THAT IT -- FOR THE RECORD THAT BASICALLY YOU ARE WAIVING THE 60 DAYS UNTIL SUCH TIME AS NEXT WEEK, SO WE ARE GOING TO CONTINUE THIS HEARING UNTIL MAY 6TH. CORRECT?

MR. CERMAK: THAT IS MY UNDERSTANDING.

16 CHAIRMAN EATON: IS THAT OUR -- P&ES UNDERSTANDING 17 AS WELL?

MS. NAUMAN: THAT IS THE UNDERSTANDING, AND OUR AGREEMENT WITH THE COUNTY AT THIS TIME.

CHAIRMAN EATON: DO THE BOARD MEMBERS HAVE ANY QUESTIONS WITH REGARD TO THE COUNTY'S REQUEST?

MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, I JUST THINK THAT WE
3 OUGHT TO CONTINUE THIS ITEM TO MAY 6TH.

24 CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY. WITH THAT IN MIND, IS

THERE -- COUNSEL, DO WE HAVE TO JUST HAVE IT ORDERED AS SUCH, TO BE CONTINUED UNTIL --

MS. NAUMAN: CORRECT.

CHAIRMAN EATON: -- MAY 6TH WITHOUT A VOTE? OKAY.

WE'LL HAVE THIS MATTER CONTINUED UNTIL THE
MAY 6TH AGENDA UNDER CONTINUED BUSINESS ITEMS, AT WHICH TIME
WE'LL HEAR A FULL PRESENTATION.

THANK YOU. THANK YOU, MR. CERMAK.

AGENDA ITEM 3: CONSIDERATION OF A REVISED SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT FOR THE JOHNSON CANYON SANITARY LANDFILL, MONTEREY COUNTY

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

CHAIRMAN EATON: ITEM NO. 3, JOHNSON CANYON SANITARY LANDFILL.

MS. NILES: GOOD MORNING, BOARD MEMBERS, I'M LAURA NILES WITH THE PERMITTING AND ENFORCEMENT DIVISION. THIS ITEM IS CONSIDERATION FOR THE REVISED LANDFILL PERMIT FOR THE JOHNSON CANYON LANDFILL LOCATED NEAR THE CITY OF GONZALEZ IN MONTEREY COUNTY.

THE PURPOSE OF THE REVISION IS TO UPDATE THE

1978 SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT TO CURRENT DAY. THE

REVISIONS INCLUDE AN INCREASE IN TONNAGE FOR APPROXIMATELY

38 TONS TO 170 TONS PER DAY, CHANGE IN OWNER/OPERATOR FROM

MONTEREY COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS TO THE SALINAS VALLEY SOLID

WASTE AUTHORITY, INCREASE THE ACREAGE FROM 122.5 ACRES TO

163 ACRES, INCREASE THE DAYS OF OPERATION FROM SIX DAYS A WEEK TO SEVEN DAYS A WEEK, AND OTHER MINOR OPERATIONAL CHANGES.

THE LEA AND BOARD STAFF HAVE DETERMINED THE FOLLOWING. CEQA HAS BEEN MET. A PERMIT VIOLATION HAS BEEN NOTED FOR THE INCREASE IN TONNAGE, HOWEVER THE ISSUANCE OF THIS PERMIT WILL CORRECT THAT VIOLATION.

THERE EXISTS A METHANE MIGRATION VIOLATION ON BOTH THE NORTHERN AND EASTERN BOUNDARY OF THE LANDFILL.

HOWEVER, THE OPERATOR ONLY PURCHASED THE ADJACENT NORTHERN

40.5 ACRES AND IS NOW PLANNING TO INSTALL A GAS EXTRACTION

SYSTEM. THE BOARD'S FOUR CRITERIA ESTABLISHING THE LONG
TERM GAS VIOLATION POLICY HAS BEEN MET.

SINCE THE TIME OF THE AGENDA ITEM PUBLICATION
TWO ADDITIONAL FINDINGS HAVE BEEN MADE. FINANCIAL ASSURANCE
HAVE BEEN FOUND ACCEPTABLE. THE OTHER FINDING, THE OFFICE
OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE STAFF HAS DETERMINED THAT THE SITING
ELEMENT MAY NOT BE IN CONFORMANCE WITH THIS PERMIT.
HOWEVER, IT WAS DETERMINED THAT THE CIWMP IDENTIFIES THE
LOCATION OF THE FACILITY, AND THE COUNTY HAS IN EXCESS OF 15
YEARS' DISPOSAL CAPACITY.

THEREFORE, STAFF RECOMMEND THAT THE BOARD

ADOPT DECISION NUMBER 1999-160, CONCURRING IN THE ISSUANCE

OF SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT 27-AA-0005.

I HAVE MR. JOHN JENNINGS WITH THE LEA'S

OFFICE NEXT TO ME AND -- TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS, AS WELL AS

MR. STEVE JOHNSON FROM THE SALINAS VALLEY SOLID WASTE

AUTHORITY.

THIS CONCLUDES STAFF'S PRESENTATION.

CHAIRMAN EATON: ANY QUESTIONS? I HAVE ONE.

FINANCIAL ASSURANCE, AT LEAST IN MY WORKUP,

HAD NOT BEEN CONFIRMED AS OF THE TIME I RECEIVED MY PACKET.

HAS THAT SINCE BEEN CONFIRMED FOR CLOSURE AND POST-CLOSURE?

MS. NILES: YES, THAT HAS BEEN FOUND ADEQUATE.

CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY.

MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN?

CHAIRMAN EATON: YES, MR. JONES.

MEMBER JONES: I'D LIKE TO MOVE ADOPTION OF
RESOLUTION 1999-160, THE REVISED SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT
FOR THE JOHNSON CANYON SANITARY LANDFILL.

CHAIRMAN EATON: DO I HAVE A SECOND?

MEMBER PENNINGTON: I'LL SECOND IT.

CHAIRMAN EATON: ALL RIGHTY, MR. JONES MOVES AND MR. PENNINGTON SECONDS WE ADOPT RESOLUTION 1999-160, TO GRANT A SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT FOR JOHNSON CANYON

MADAM SECRETARY, WOULD YOU PLEASE CALL THE

24 ROLL?

SANITARY LANDFILL.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

CALIFORNIA SHORTHAND REPORTING

THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBERS JONES? MEMBER JONES: AYE. THE SECRETARY: PENNINGTON? MEMBER PENNINGTON: AYE. THE SECRETARY: ROBERTI? MEMBER ROBERTI: AYE. THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN EATON? CHAIRMAN EATON: AYE. AGENDA ITEM 4: CONSIDERATION OF A REVISED 10 SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT FOR THE DAVIS STREET 11 TRANSFER STATION, ALAMEDA COUNTY 12 CHAIRMAN EATON: ITEM NO. 4. 13 MEMBER ROBERTI: MR. CHAIRMAN? 14 CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. ROBERTI. 15 MEMBER ROBERTI: I LET IT PASS, BUT NOW I THINK I -- I REALLY WISH PEOPLE'D STOP TALKING IN ACRONYMS, IT'S 16 17 DRIVING ME CRAZY. I MEAN, I HEARD ABOUT CIWMP, NOW I HAD 18 ASKED WHAT CIWMP WAS, BELIEVE IT OR NOT, EVERYBODY DOESN'T 19 KNOW WHAT THESE ACRONYMS MEAN. AND THEY ARE NOT ENGLISH, SO 20 I'M REALLY ASKING PEOPLE TO START TALKING IN THE ENGLISH 21 LANGUAGE SO THE AUDIENCE AND THE MEMBERS CAN UNDERSTAND. 2.2 IT'S -- IN A WAY IT'S FUNNY, BUT IN A WAY IT'S A REAL 23 PROBLEM. 24 CHAIRMAN EATON: POINT WELL TAKEN. SO IF YOU

COULD PLEASE, IF YOU ARE GOING TO USE AN ACRONYM OR ANY ABBREVIATION, IF YOU WOULD FIRST EXPLAIN IT --

MEMBER ROBERTI: SOME ARE SO OBVIOUS THAT,

OBVIOUSLY -- YOU KNOW, IT'S CALLED JUDGMENT. BUT WHEN IT'S

JUST TOTALLY PART OF THE CONVERSATION AND THE PRESENTATION

IT BECOMES NO PRESENTATION AT ALL. AND IT'S -- A PART OF

IT'S JUDGMENT. OBVIOUSLY YOU CAN SAY LEA ALL YOU WANT I

GUESS. I MEAN, THERE'S SOME THAT ARE JUST NOT THAT

IMPORTANT OR THEY'RE JUST SO PART OF THE LANGUAGE THAT WE

LET THEM PASS. BUT USE SOME JUDGMENT. I'M NOT GOING TO GET

UPSET EVERY TIME IT HAPPENS, BUT IT REALLY MAKES IT VERY,

VERY DIFFICULT TO FOLLOW.

CHAIRMAN EATON: THANK YOU.

MS. COYLE: MR. CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS, MARY COYLE WITH THE BOARD'S PERMITTING AND INSPECTION BRANCH. THIS ITEM IS CONSIDERATION OF A REVISED SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT FOR THE DAVIS STREET TRANSFER STATION, WHICH IS LOCATED IN THE CITY OF SAN LEANDRO.

THIS IS AN EXISTING TRANSFER STATION THAT

INCLUDES MRF OPERATIONS. IT'S CURRENTLY IN A -- 53 ACRES IN

AN INDUSTRIAL AREA. IT'S CURRENT PERMIT IS FOR 5,000 TONS A

DAY, THE DESIGN CAPACITY IS 9,600 TONS A DAY. IT'S OWNED BY

WASTE MANAGEMENT OF ALAMEDA COUNTY, AND IT'S OPERATED BY

DAVIS STREET TRANSFER STATION, A SUBSIDIARY OF WASTE

MANAGEMENT.

AT THE TIME THIS ITEM WAS PREPARED TWO FINDINGS WERE PENDING. EXCUSE ME, I NEED TO TALK ABOUT THE ISSUES.

THE PROPOSED PERMIT CONSISTS OF EXPANDING THE MINIMUM -- THE MAXIMUM DAILY TONNAGE FROM 5,000 TONS A DAY TO 9,600 TONS A DAY, EXPANDING THE MRF LINE, INCLUDING FOOD SCRAP RECYCLING, RECYCLING OF CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION DEBRIS, RETROFITTING THE EXISTING FUEL STORAGE AND DELIVERY SYSTEM TO ALLOW FOR ALTERNATIVE FUELS, AND MODIFYING THE ADMINISTRATIVE BUILDINGS.

AT THE TIME THE ITEM WAS PREPARED TWO
FINDINGS WERE PENDING. THE FIRST FINDING IN THE AREA OF
CONFORMANCE, WE HAVE CATHERINE CARDOZO FROM THE OFFICE OF
LOCAL ASSISTANCE HERE TO ADDRESS THAT ISSUE.

MS. CARDOZO: GOOD MORNING, BOARD MEMBERS,
CHAIRMAN EATON, I'M CATHERINE CARDOZO WITH THE BOARD'S
OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE, AND I'LL JUST GIVE THE
CONFORMANCE FINDING THAT WE MADE ON THIS ITEM FOR THE DAVIS
STREET TRANSFER STATION AND SAN LEANDRO'S NON-DISPOSAL
FACILITY ELEMENT, OR THEIR NDFE.

OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE, OR OLA STAFF, HAS REVIEWED THE PROPOSED PERMIT FOR CONFORMANCE WITH PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE 50001. THIS SECTION REQUIRES THAT THE

FACILITY BE IDENTIFIED IN THE JURISDICTION'S NON-DISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT, THEIR NDFE. SAN LEANDRO'S NDFE WAS NOT REVISED PRIOR TO THIS PERMIT COMING FORWARD, IT CURRENTLY IDENTIFIES THE FACILITY BUT AT LOWER TONNAGES THAN THOSE IN THE PROPOSED PERMIT.

THE ALAMEDA WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY, THEIR JPA, DID REVISE THE DESCRIPTION OF THIS FACILITY IN THEIR SITING ELEMENT THAT WAS APPROVED LAST MONTH BY THE BOARD. ALTHOUGH IT'S NOT REQUIRED, ALAMEDA HAS INCLUDED ALL THEIR NON-DISPOSAL FACILITIES IN THEIR SITING ELEMENT, INCLUDING THINGS LIKE TRANSFER STATIONS AND COMPOSTING, WHICH ARE NORMALLY LISTED IN A JURISDICTION'S NDFE.

THE OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE BELIEVES THAT
THE AMENDMENT IN THE SITING ELEMENT IN THIS INSTANCE IS
SUBSTANTIALLY EQUIVALENT TO AMENDING THE NDFE, AND
RECOMMENDS FINDING CONFORMANCE FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS.

ONE, THE PUBLIC NOTICE REQUIRED FOR AMENDING
THE SITING ELEMENT OR THE NDFE IS THE SAME. THE NOTICE
PERIOD IS ACTUALLY LONGER FOR AMENDING THE SITING ELEMENT,
30 DAYS OPPOSED TO THE THREE DAYS FOR THE NDFE.

THE SITING ELEMENT AMENDMENT REQUIRES A

MAJORITY MAJORITY APPROVAL, WHICH MEANS A MAJORITY OF THE

POPULATION IN THE INCORPORATED AREA OF THE COUNTY, AS WELL

AS THE COUNTY, JUST APPROVE THE AMENDMENT AS OPPOSED TO JUST THE JURISDICTION WHERE THE -- THE JURISDICTION OF THE NDFE.

AND SAN LEANDRO'S REPRESENTATIVE ON THE JPA

FOR ALAMEDA IS AUTHORIZED BY THEIR CITY COUNCIL TO APPROVE

ELEMENT AMENDMENTS, AND DID APPROVE THIS AMENDMENT ON BEHALF

OF THE CITY.

IN ADDITION, THE CITY INDICATED THAT THEIR FAILURE TO AMEND THE NDFE WAS AN OVERSIGHT DUE TO STAFF TURNOVER, AND HAVE INDICATED THEY WILL BE AMENDING THIS -- THEIR NDFE TO REFLECT THIS CHANGE IN THE NEAR FUTURE. AND IN THE MEANTIME, THE CITY WILL INCLUDE A COPY OF THE SITING ELEMENT AMENDMENT WITH THEIR NDFE SO THAT ANY CITY RESIDENT LOOKING AT THE NDFE WOULD BE AWARE OF THE SITING ELEMENT AMENDMENT THAT INCLUDES THE ADDITIONAL OF THAT -- THE REVISION TO THIS TRANSFER STATION PERMIT.

16 THAT CONCLUDES MY PART OF THE PRESENTATION.
17 ANY QUESTIONS?

18 CHAIRMAN EATON: QUESTIONS FOR MS. CARDOZO? THANK
19 YOU.

MS. COYLE.

10

11

12

13

14

15

20

21

22

23

24

MS. COYLE: REGARDING THE CONSISTENCY STATEMENT OF STANDARD FINDINGS, AT THE TIME THE BOARD CONDUCTED A PRE-PERMIT INSPECTION WE DID FIND ONE VIOLATION, WHICH WAS A LOG OF SPECIAL OCCURRENCES. WE HAVE SINCE RECEIVED INFORMATION

FROM THE OPERATOR THAT HAS RECTIFIED THIS VIOLATION AND THE SITE IS NOW IN COMPLIANCE WITH MINIMUM STANDARDS.

THEREFORE, THE BOARD STAFF ARE NOW IN A

POSITION TO RECOMMEND THAT THE BOARD ADOPT RESOLUTION 1999
161, CONCURRING IN THE ISSUANCE OF THE PERMIT.

MR. ART DETMAR WITH THE LOCAL ENFORCEMENT AGENCY AND REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE OPERATOR ARE PRESENT TO RESPOND TO ANY QUESTIONS YOU MAY HAVE.

THAT CONCLUDES STAFF PRESENTATION.

CHAIRMAN EATON: I JUST HAVE ONE. IN MY BOOK IT

SAYS THAT THERE WERE CERTAIN -- THREE AREAS OF CONCERN THAT

WERE GOING TO BE FOLLOWED UP AND PRESENTED TO US AT THE

MEETING.

MS. COYLE: THE AREAS OF --

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN EATON: THOSE WERE THE CLEANING, THE HOUSEKEEPING, AND THE TRANSFER PROCESSING REPORT.

MS. COYLE: YES. THE AREAS OF CONCERN, THE LEA WILL BE FOLLOWING UP WITH THE OPERATOR, AND THE OPERATOR IS IN THE PROCESS OF ADDRESSING THOSE ISSUES.

CHAIRMAN EATON: PERHAPS YOU CAN SPEAK TO THE AREAS OF CONCERN.

MR. DETMEIR: THE AREAS, ONE WAS HOUSEKEEPING -OH, MY NAME IS ART DETMEIR, I'M WITH ALAMEDA COUNTY LOCAL
ENFORCEMENT AGENCY.

THE AREAS OF CONCERN WERE ONE AREA NEAR THE
TRANSFER BUILDING WHERE THERE WAS EXCESSIVE DUST AND BUILDUP
THAT HAD OCCURRED, AND THAT HAS BEEN TAKEN CARE OF.

THE OTHER ONE WAS EXCESSIVE SCRAP METAL.

THIS HAS BEEN A PROBLEM WITH THE INCORPORATION OF THE USA

WASTE MANAGEMENT, THERE'S BEEN AN AWFUL LOT OF MATERIAL

FLOATING AROUND THE COUNTY THAT'S, YOU KNOW, HAD PROBLEMS

FINDING A HOME, AND THEY ARE WORKING DILIGENTLY TO CLEAN

THIS UP. A LOT OF THE MATERIAL'S BEING SCRAPPED, SOME OF

IT'S BEING USED IN OTHER FACILITIES. SO, WE'RE KEEPING

PRESSURE ON, BUT HOPING THAT, YOU KNOW, IT WILL TAKE CARE OF

ITSELF. SO I'M CONFIDENT THAT THAT WILL OCCUR.

AND THE FINAL THING WAS A TRANSFER PROCESSING REPORT. THERE WAS A BENT STANCHION AROUND A GAS WELL. IT TURNED OUT THAT THE GAS WELL HAD BEEN INOPERABLE FOR SOME YEARS, AND THE STANCHIONS JUST HADN'T BEEN REMOVED, SO BY REMOVING THE STANCHIONS THAT PRETTY MUCH TOOK CARE OF THE PROBLEM.

SO, THE LEA FINDS THAT THE SITE IS IN SATISFACTORY CONDITION.

CHAIRMAN EATON: THAT'S FINE WITH ME. ALL RIGHTY.

MEMBER PENNINGTON: MR. CHAIRMAN?

CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. PENNINGTON.

MEMBER PENNINGTON: I'LL MOVE ADOPTION OF

RESOLUTION 1999-161.

MEMBER JONES: I'LL SECOND.

CHAIRMAN EATON: ALL RIGHTY, MR. PENNINGTON MOVES,

MR. JONES SECONDS, THAT WE ADOPT RESOLUTION 1999-161.

MADAM SECRETARY, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBERS JONES?

MEMBER JONES: AYE.

THE SECRETARY: PENNINGTON?

MEMBER PENNINGTON: AYE.

THE SECRETARY: ROBERTI?

MEMBER ROBERTI: AYE.

THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN EATON?

CHAIRMAN EATON: AYE.

14 MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, CAN I JUST ASK THE

15 LEA ONE QUESTION?

10

11

12

13

16

23

CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. JONES.

17 MEMBER JONES: THE INOPERATIVE EQUIPMENT THAT'S

18 BEING STORED THERE AND CUT UP, OR DONE WHATEVER, IS IT A

19 VIOLATION BECAUSE THE ENTIRE FACILITY, THE 53 ACRES, IS

20 UNDER PERMIT? OTHERWISE, IF THAT WAS IN HIS YARD AND THAT

■ WASN'T PART OF THE PERMIT THAT WOULDN'T BE A VIOLATION?

22 MR. DETMEIR: IT WAS PROBABLY MORE A MATTER OF

JUST THE AMOUNT OF MATERIAL THAT WAS THERE. AND, IT'S AN

24 AREA OF CONCERN AT THIS STAGE, IT WASN'T WRITTEN AS AN

N.O.V., NOTICE OF VIOLATION.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

THE DIFFICULTY IS, IS THERE ARE, YOU KNOW, A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT PROBLEMS THAT OCCUR WHEN YOU HAVE TOO MUCH MATERIAL STORED. YOU'VE GOT VECTOR PROBLEMS --

MEMBER JONES: RIGHT.

MR. DETMEIR: -- WITH THE RODENTS RUNNING AROUND.

YOU HAVE DIFFICULTY GETTING IN THERE AND GRADING DURING WET

WEATHER, YOU HAVE DIFFICULTY CLEANING THE LITTER OUT OF THE

AREA. SO IT JUST -- IT'S A PROBLEM FROM A NUMBER OF

DIFFERENT STANDPOINTS, SO WE HOPE THAT IT'LL BE RESOLVED

SOON.

MEMBER JONES: OKAY. THANKS.

MR. DIER: AND IF I MIGHT JUST ADD FOR CLARITY,
THESE WERE THE FINDINGS OF BOARD STAFF ON A PRE-PERMIT
INSPECTION, AND IT WAS -- IT WAS JUST FELT -- THE STAFF HAD
BEEN AT THIS FACILITY NUMEROUS TIMES AND THEY JUST HADN'T
SEEN MOVEMENT OF SOME OF THIS, AND IT JUST WAS THERE FOR AN
INORDINATE AMOUNT OF TIME.

AGENDA ITEM 5: CONSIDERATION OF THE ISSUANCE

OF A NEW MAJOR WASTE TIRE FACILITY PERMIT FOR

LAKIN TIRE WEST, INC., LOS ANGELES COUNTY

CHAIRMAN EATON: ITEM NO. 5, CONSIDERATION OF REVISED SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT FOR THE DAVIS STREET -- I'M SORRY, I'M STILL AT FOUR, I'M SORRY -- CONSIDERATION OF

THE ISSUANCE OF A MAJOR WASTE TIRE FACILITY PERMIT FOR LAKIN TIRE WEST.

MS. NAUMAN: MR. CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS, JUST AS A REMINDER, THIS ITEM WAS ON YOUR AGENDA I BELIEVE AT THE FEBRUARY MEETING, AND WAS CONTINUED AT THAT TIME SO THAT LAKIN HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO FINISH THE CONSTRUCTION THAT THEY HAD PLANNED, WHICH WOULD BRING THEIR FACILITY INTO COMPLIANCE WITH FIRE STANDARDS. THAT ISSUE HAS BEEN TAKEN CARE OF, AND TERRY SMITH WILL PRESENT THE DETAILS.

CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. SMITH, WELCOME.

2.2

MR. SMITH: GOOD MORNING, MR. CHAIRMAN. ITEM NO.

5 REGARDS THE CONSIDERATION OF A MAJOR WASTE TIRE FACILITY

PERMIT FOR THE LAKIN TIRE WEST, INCORPORATED, FACILITY.

THE FACILITY IS LOCATED AT 13250 ARCTIC

CIRCLE, SANTA FE SPRINGS, IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY. LAKIN TIRE

HAS BEEN OPERATING THEIR USED TIRE BUSINESS IN SOUTHERN

CALIFORNIA SINCE THE EARLY '70S. THE WASTE TIRES RECEIVED

AT THE FACILITY COME FROM VARIOUS TIRE DEALERSHIPS LOCATED

THROUGHOUT THE STATE.

UPON ARRIVAL AT THE FACILITY THE TIRES ARE SORTED AND GRADED. TIRES THAT CAN'T BE REUSED ARE SHREDDED AND TAKEN TO THE LANDFILL OR TO PORTLAND CEMENT AND BURNED OR USED, OR AS FUEL THERE. TIRES THAT CAN BE REUSED ARE SOLD AS USED TIRES OR RETREAD CASINGS. LAKIN PROCESSED

ABOUT 10.5 MILLION TIRES LAST YEAR.

2.2

BOARD STAFF HAVE DETERMINED THAT PERMITTING
THIS FACILITY DOES NOT REQUIRE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL
QUALITY ACT, OR COMMONLY KNOWN AS CEQA, ANALYSIS. THERE IS
A GRANDPARENTING CLAUSE IN DIVISION 30 OF THE PUBLIC
RESOURCES CODE, 42 EIGHT 12, THAT EXEMPTS EXISTING TIRE
FACILITIES FROM CEQA REQUIREMENTS. AN EXISTING FACILITY IS
DEFINED AS ONE THAT HAS BEEN IN EXISTENCE PRIOR TO JANUARY
1ST, 1990, WITH NO SUBSTANTIAL CHANGE IN DESIGN OR
OPERATION.

BOARD STAFF DEEMED LAKIN TIRES' WASTE TIRE

FACILITY PERMIT APPLICATION COMPLETE, OR ACCEPTABLE, ON

DECEMBER 28TH, 1998. AT THAT TIME SUBMITTED WITH THE

PACKAGE, AS JULIE SAID, WAS -- WE HAD A LETTER OF GRANTING

TEMPORARY APPROVAL TO THE FACILITY FOR STORAGE OF TIRES FROM

THE FIRE MARSHALL OF SANTA FE SPRINGS. IN THAT LETTER THEY

REQUIRED LAKIN TO UPGRADE THE STORAGE REQUIREMENTS TO MEET

NATIONAL FIRE PROTECTION ASSOCIATION STANDARDS, 231(D) FOR

INDOOR STORAGE, BY APRIL 1ST. AND, SO SUBSEQUENTLY IT WAS

POSTPONED UNTIL WE COULD -- THEY COULD MEET THOSE

REQUIREMENTS, UNTIL TODAY'S MEETING.

IN CONCLUSION, STAFF HAVE DETERMINED THAT ALL

OF THE REQUIREMENTS TO OBTAIN A MAJOR WASTE TIRE FACILITY

PERMIT HAVE BEEN MET. THE NATIONAL FIRE PROTECTION

ASSOCIATION STANDARDS FOR INDOOR STORAGE OF RUBBER TIRES,
FINANCIAL ASSURANCE AND OPERATING LIABILITY REQUIREMENTS,
STATE MINIMUM STANDARDS FOR TIRE STORAGE, AND THE CALIFORNIA
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT REQUIREMENTS HAVE ALL BEEN MET.
STAFF HAS DRAFTED A MAJOR WASTE TIRE FACILITY PERMIT FOR
THIS PROJECT AND IT IS INCLUDED AS ATTACHMENT NO. 1 TO THIS
AGENDA ITEM.

THE ISSUANCE OF THE PROPOSED PERMIT WILL AUTHORIZE LAKIN TIRE WEST TO STORE UP TO 100,000 TIRES AT THIS FACILITY.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

STAFF RECOMMENDS THAT THE BOARD ADOPT THE
PERMIT, AND BY DOING SO YOU WOULD ACCEPT OR APPROVE THIS
PERMIT BY ADOPTING DECISION NUMBER 1999-37, THEREBY
APPROVING THE ISSUANCE OF WASTE TIRE FACILITY PERMIT NUMBER
19-TI-0014.

AND THAT CONCLUDES STAFF'S PRESENTATION.

AND MR. GEORGE LARSEN IS HERE REPRESENTING
LAKIN TIRE WEST IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS. THIS CONCLUDES
STAFF PRESENTATION.

CHAIRMAN EATON: ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS? I

JUST HAVE ONE THAT REALLY DOESN'T PERTAIN TO THE PERMIT PER

SE, BUT REALLY OVERALL.

WHEN WE HAVE A SITUATION WHEREIN WE'RE
WAITING FOR CONFIRMATION WITH REGARD TO SOME OF THESE ITEMS

-- WE HAD A LETTER THE LAST TIME THAT SAID THAT THEY DIDN'T HAVE IT -- COULD WE ALSO INCLUDE FOR THE MEMBERS' PACKETS
THE LETTER THAT SAYS THAT THEY'VE MET THE REQUIREMENT? AND THAT MAY HELP THINGS GO EASIER. THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL, I
THINK, FOR ALL OF US JUST TO KNOW, AND JUST FOR THE RECORD AND STUFF LIKE THAT. ESPECIALLY SINCE THE OTHER ONE WAS PUT IN THE RECORD.

MR. SMITH: INCLUDE THE LETTER AS AN ATTACHMENT -CHAIRMAN EATON: AS PART OF OUR PACKET.

MR. SMITH: OKAY.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.2

CHAIRMAN EATON: BECAUSE I THINK THEY RECEIVED IT
IN A TIMELY FASHION.

MR. SMITH: OKAY.

CHAIRMAN EATON: AND WITHOUT THAT, I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION.

MEMBER PENNINGTON: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'LL MOVE FOR THE ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 1999-30, ISSUING A WASTE TIRE FACILITY PERMIT NO. 19-TI-0014.

MEMBER JONES: THIRTY-SEVEN.

MEMBER PENNINGTON: OH, DID I? RESOLUTION 1999-21 37.

MEMBER JONES: I'LL SECOND.

23 CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. PENNINGTON MOVES, AND MR.

24 JONES SECONDS, THAT WE ADOPT RESOLUTION 1999-37.

CALIFORNIA SHORTHAND REPORTING

MADAM SECRETARY, WOULD YOU PLEASE CALL THE ROLL? THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBERS JONES? MEMBER JONES: AYE. THE SECRETARY: PENNINGTON? MEMBER PENNINGTON: AYE. THE SECRETARY: ROBERTI? MEMBER ROBERTI: AYE. THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN EATON? 10 CHAIRMAN EATON: AYE. 11 AGENDA ITEM 6: CONSIDERATION OF THE ISSUANCE 12 OF A NEW STANDARDIZED SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT AND 13 ADOPTION OF A NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR GROVER LANDSCAPING 14 SERVICES, STANISLAUS COUNTY 15 CHAIRMAN EATON: ITEM NO. 6 MS. NAUMAN: MR. CHAIRMAN, WHILE STAFF IS COMING 16 FORWARD LET ME JUST MENTION A COUPLE OF THINGS ABOUT THIS 17 18 PARTICULAR ITEM. 19 IN THIS CASE, THIS IS AN APPLICATION FROM 20 STANISLAUS COUNTY. THE WASTE BOARD IS, IN FACT, THE LOCAL ENFORCEMENT AGENCY, THE LEA FOR STANISLAUS COUNTY. 22 THERE ARE TWO KIND OF ADDITIONAL COMPONENTS 23 OF THIS FACILITY PERMIT THAT NEED TO BE ACTED ON. THE 24 COUNTY IS AMENDING THEIR COUNTYWIDE NON-DISPOSAL FACILITY

ELEMENT TO REFLECT THIS PERMIT. THAT IS ITEM 23 ON YOUR AGENDA, WHICH IS ON YOUR PROPOSED CONSENT CALENDAR WHICH WILL COME BEFORE YOU TOMORROW.

IN ADDITION TO ACTING ON THAT WE'LL ALSO BE ASKING YOU TO ACT ON THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION THAT WE HAVE PREPARED AS LEAD AGENCY, AS PART OF YOUR ACTION ON THE PERMIT.

AND, WITH THAT, SUE MARKIE WILL PRESENT THE ITEM.

2.2

MS. MARKIE: GOOD MORNING MR. CHAIRMAN AND BOARD MEMBERS. MY NAME IS SUE MARKIE. THIS ITEM IS FOR THE ADOPTION OF A NEGATIVE DECLARATION AND CONSIDERATION OF THE ISSUANCE OF A NEW STANDARDIZED SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT FOR GROVER LANDSCAPING SERVICES.

THE PROPOSED FACILITY IS LOCATED IN THE NORTHWEST PORTION OF STANISLAUS COUNTY, NEAR THE INTERSECTION OF INTERSTATE 5 AND HIGHWAY 132 IN VERNALIS, ON APPROXIMATELY 70 LEASED ACRES.

THE OPERATOR WILL BE COMPOSTING ON 40 ACRES
WITH THE OPTION TO EXPAND TO 60 ACRES. THIS IS CONSISTENT
WITH THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION AND LOCAL APPROVALS. THE
OPERATOR WILL USE A WINDROW METHOD TO COMPOST MUNICIPAL AND
AGRICULTURAL GREEN WASTE SUCH AS YARD WASTE, TREE AND BRUSH
TRIMMINGS, WOOD WASTE, CLEAN AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS SUCH AS

FRUIT, OLIVE PUMICE, MANURE AND VEGETABLES.

2.2

THE BOARD HAS ONLY 30 DAYS TO CONSIDER A STANDARDIZED PERMIT.

AT THE TIME THE AGENDA ITEM WAS PREPARED

STAFF COULD NOT DEEM THE PERMIT PACKAGE COMPLETE DUE TO THE

PENDING APPROVALS OF THE NON-DISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT

AMENDMENTS, WHICH I'LL REFER TO AS THE NDFE IN THE END OF

THE SUBSEQUENT NEGATIVE DECLARATION COMMENT PERIOD. THAT

COMMENT PERIOD ENDED ON FRIDAY, APRIL 23RD. WE DID NOT HAVE

ANY COMMENTS IN OPPOSITION, WE HAD TWO LETTERS OF SUPPORT.

BOARD STAFF HAS CONFIRMED THAT THE FACILITY

IS IDENTIFIED IN THE NDFE, AND THIS IS ON THIS MONTH'S

CONSENT CALENDAR.

THE ENFORCEMENT AGENCY, MYSELF, HAS

DETERMINED THAT THE PROPOSED PERMIT IS CONSISTENT WITH AND

SUPPORTED BY THE SUBSEQUENT NEGATIVE DECLARATION.

ADDITIONALLY, I HAVE DETERMINED THAT THE REPORT OF

COMPOSTING SITE INFORMATION IS COMPLETE. THIS PERMIT IS

CONSISTENT WITH THE STANDARDS ADOPTED BY THE BOARD.

IN CONCLUSION, STAFF HAS REVIEWED THE
PROPOSED PERMIT AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION AND FOUND THEM
TO BE ACCEPTABLE. STAFF RECOMMENDS THAT THE BOARD ADOPT
RESOLUTION NUMBER 1999-165, CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTION OF A
NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR GROVER LANDSCAPING SERVICES.

AND, UPON APPROVAL OF THE CONSENT CALENDAR ITEM NO. 23 TOMORROW, ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION NUMBER 1999-166, CONCURRING IN THE ISSUANCE OF THE STANDARDIZED COMPOST PERMIT NUMBER 50-AA-0020.

THIS CONCLUDES STAFF'S PRESENTATION, ALTHOUGH
THE OPERATOR, MR. MARK GROVER, IS HERE TO ANSWER ANY
ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS.

CHAIRMAN EATON: ANY QUESTIONS OF EITHER STAFF OR MR. GROVER? OKAY.

HEARING NONE, I'LL ENTERTAIN -- WE HAVE TWO MOTIONS, MEMBERS, I THINK YOU OUGHT TO BE AWARE OF ON THIS ITEM.

MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN?

CHAIRMAN EATON: UM-HUM?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MEMBER JONES: I'M GOING TO MOVE THIS MOTION.

BUT I AM IMPRESSED WITH MS. SUE MARKIE, WHO HAS BEEN HERE FOR LIKE SEVEN YEARS AND THIS IS HER FIRST TIME IN FRONT OF THIS BOARD. OR MAYBE NINE YEARS, I DON'T KNOW HOW LONG YOU'VE BEEN HERE, SUE.

I'D LIKE TO MOVE --

CHAIRMAN EATON: SHE KNEW IT WAS YOUR BIRTHDAY.

MEMBER JONES: I WANT TO MOVE RESOLUTION 1999-165,

THE NEGATIVE DEC FOR GROVER LANDSCAPING.

MEMBER PENNINGTON: I'LL SECOND IT.

CHAIRMAN EATON: ALL RIGHTY. MR. JONES MOVES, AND MR. PENNINGTON SECONDS, THAT WE ADOPT RESOLUTION 1999-165. MADAM SECRETARY, WITHOUT OBJECTION, WE'LL JUST SUBSTITUTE THE PREVIOUS ROLL CALL. HEARING NO OBJECTION, SO BE IT ORDERED. MEMBER PENNINGTON: MR. CHAIRMAN. CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. PENNINGTON. MEMBER PENNINGTON: I'LL MOVE RESOLUTION 1999-166. MEMBER JONES: I WILL SECOND. 10 CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. PENNINGTON CORRECTLY 11 "PRONOUNCIATES" THE ITEM -- I'VE HAD THAT SAME PROBLEM ALL 12 MORNING, SO I DON'T KNOW WHAT IT IS. 13 MEMBER PENNINGTON: IT'S HIS BIRTHDAY --14 CHAIRMAN EATON: YEAH, MR. PENNINGTON --15 (GENERAL DISCUSSION.) 16 CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. PENNINGTON MOVES, AND MR. 17 JONES SECONDS, THAT WE ADOPT RESOLUTION 1999-166. 18 WITHOUT OBJECTION, WE'LL SUBSTITUTE THE 19 PREVIOUS ROLL CALL. HEARING NO OBJECTION, SO SHALL BE THE 20 ORDER. 21 AGENDA ITEM 7: CONSIDERATION OF A REVISED SOLID WASTE 2.2 FACILITY PERMIT FOR SAN JOAQUIN COMPOSTING, INC., KERN 23 COUNTY 24 CHAIRMAN EATON: ITEM NO. 8 (SIC).

MR. DILLON: GOOD MORNING MR. CHAIRMAN AND BOARD MEMBERS. I'M LLOYD DILLON WITH THE BOARD'S PERMITTING AND ENFORCEMENT DIVISION. I'LL BE MAKING THE PRESENTATION ON AGENDA ITEM 7 TODAY, CONSIDERATION OF REVISED SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT FOR THE SAN JOAQUIN COMPOSTING FACILITY IN KERN COUNTY.

WE RECEIVED A REVISED PERMIT FOR THE SAN

JOAQUIN COMPOSTING FACILITY REQUESTING TO UPGRADE THE PERMIT

FROM A STANDARD COMPOSTING PERMIT TO A FULL SOLID WASTE

FACILITIES PERMIT, MAINLY TO ALLOW FOR THE TEMPORARY STORAGE

OF BIO-SOLIDS, NOT DIRECTLY INTENDED FOR COMPOSTING BUT FOR

LAND-SPREADING.

2.2

SAN JOAQUIN COMPOSTING CURRENTLY HAS A STANDARDIZED COMPOSTING PERMIT ALLOWING THE USE OF GREEN WASTE, AGRICULTURAL WASTE AND BIO-SOLIDS AS THEIR FEED STOCK FOR COMPOST. THE PROJECT'S ANNUAL TONNAGE, 786,000 TONS, WILL NOT CHANGE AS A RESULT OF THIS PERMIT REVISION.

NEITHER WILL THE SOURCE OR TYPES OF FEED STOCK.

THE ONLY THING THAT CHANGES FROM CURRENT
OPERATIONS IS THAT THE FACILITY CAN NOW STORE BIO-SOLIDS
MEANT FOR ITS LAND-SPREADING OPERATIONS DURING PERIODS WHEN
IT'S NOT POSSIBLE TO DIRECTLY DELIVER THEM TO THOSE
OPERATIONS OR TO LAND-SPREAD THEM.

THERE ARE CONDITIONS WITHIN THIS PERMIT

RELATING TO THE STORAGE OF BIO-SOLIDS. THE MAXIMUM ANNUAL TONNAGE ALLOWED. IF THE LEA DETERMINES THAT THE STORAGE OF BIO-SOLIDS CREATES A NUISANCE OR THREAT TO PUBLIC HEALTH OR THE ENVIRONMENT THE LEA CAN PROHIBIT OR CONDITION CONTINUED USE. AND THESE BIO-SOLIDS CAN BE STORED ON SITE FOR NO LONGER THAN NINE MONTHS, THEN THEY MUST BE COMPOSTED OR TRANSFERRED OFF SITE.

THIS ITEM WAS ORIGINALLY ON LAST MONTH'S AGENDA FOR BOARD CONSIDERATION, BUT RECEIVED A LETTER OF OPPOSITION LATE THE EVENING JUST BEFORE THE BOARD MEETING. THAT LETTER, FROM A COMPETITOR, MENTIONED THREE AREAS OF ISSUE. THE APPLICANT, MCCARTHY FARMS, WITHDREW THE PERMIT FROM THE AGENDA SO THAT KERN COUNTY AND WE COULD EVALUATE AND RESPOND TO THE ALLEGATIONS WITHIN THE LETTER.

2.2

KERN COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT, THE LEA, AND BOARD STAFF, BOTH TECHNICAL AND LEGAL STAFF, EVALUATED THE LETTER'S POINTS AND DETERMINED THAT FOR EACH OF OUR RESPECTIVE AREAS OUR ORIGINAL ANALYSIS WAS OKAY, AND THAT WE SHOULD PROCEED BY PUTTING THIS ON THE AGENDA FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION.

AT OUR BRIEFINGS WITH EACH OF YOU LAST WEEK
YOU WERE GIVEN COPIES OF THAT LETTER OF OPPOSITION, KERN
COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT'S RESPONSE, ANOTHER LETTER STAFF
HAS RECEIVED ON THIS ITEM.

WE UNDERSTAND THAT, AS OF LAST FRIDAY, APRIL 23RD, THE OPPONENT WILL NOT PURSUE ITS OPPOSITION TO THE PERMIT.

MEMBERS ARE IN THE AUDIENCE REPRESENTING THE KERN COUNTY LEA OFFICE, KERN COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT, THE L.A. COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT, THE ORANGE COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT, SAN JOAQUIN COMPOSTING, AND MCCARTHY FARMS.

STAFF AND THE LEA MADE RECENT INSPECTIONS AT

THE FACILITY AS PART OF OUR PRE-PERMIT INSPECTION PROGRAM

AND THERE WERE NO NOTED VIOLATIONS.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MR. BILL O'RULLIAN OF THE LEA'S OFFICE, KERN
COUNTY LEA'S OFFICE, IS HERE TO ANSWER QUESTIONS IF YOU HAVE
ANY.

AT THIS TIME STAFF WOULD RECOMMEND BOARD CONCURRENCE IN THE ISSUANCE OF THIS SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT FOR THE SAN JOAQUIN COMPOSTING FACILITY IN KERN COUNTY, AND ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 1999-96.

AND THAT CONCLUDES STAFF'S PRESENTATION.

CHAIRMAN EATON: ANY QUESTIONS, COMMENTS?

HEARING NONE, I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION.

MEMBER ROBERTI: I MOVE.

MEMBER PENNINGTON: AND I WILL SECOND IT.

CHAIRMAN EATON: ALL RIGHTY, SENATOR ROBERTI

MOVES, AND MR. PENNINGTON SECONDS, THAT WE ADOPT RESOLUTION 1999-96.

WITHOUT OBJECTION, WE'LL SUBSTITUTE THE PREVIOUS ROLL CALL. HEARING NO OBJECTIONS, SO SHALL BE THE ORDER.

AGENDA ITEM 8: SEMI-ANNUAL UPDATE AND PUBLICATION OF

THE INVENTORY OF SOLID WASTE FACILITIES VIOLATING STATE

MINIMUM STANDARDS

2.2

CHAIRMAN EATON: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, WE HAVE ONE MORE ITEM, ITEM NO. 8. DUE TO THE FACT THAT SACRAMENTO COUNTY HAS BEEN -- ASKED FOR A CONTINUANCE AND BEEN GRANTED AN CONTINUANCE AND WAIVED THE 60 DAYS -- WE HAD ALLOTTED APPROXIMATELY AN HOUR AND A HALF TO HEAR THAT ITEM WHICH, IF ALL WOULD HAVE GONE ACCORDING TO THE ITEM AS PRESENTED ALL THE WAY UP UNTIL LATE YESTERDAY AFTERNOON WHEN WE RECEIVED THE LETTER, THAT WOULD HAVE PUT US AT 11:30.

SO, AFTER THIS ITEM, DUE TO THE FACT THAT

SOME OF THE INDIVIDUALS ON ITEMS 10 AND 11 HAVE BEEN

SCHEDULED FOR TIMES SPECIFIC, WE WILL RECESS AFTER THIS ITEM

UNTIL ONE O'CLOCK, AT WHICH TIME WE WILL HEAR ITEM NO. 11,

AND THEN GO BACK -- OR ITEM -- I'M SORRY, ITEM NO. 10, AND

THEN IMMEDIATELY GO IN AT 1:30 INTO OUR REGULARLY -
HEARING, IN WHICH CASE WE'LL FINISH UP FOR THE DAY.

OKAY, MS. NAUMAN.

MS. NAUMAN: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE NEXT ITEM IS THE SEMI-ANNUAL UPDATE OF THE INVENTORY OF SOLID WASTE FACILITIES THAT ARE VIOLATING STATE MINIMUM STANDARDS. WE LAST REPORTED TO YOU IN NOVEMBER OF LAST YEAR, AND VANCE TRACY IS HERE THIS MORNING TO GIVE YOU THE CURRENT UPDATE.

MR. TRACY: GOOD MORNING CHAIRMAN EATON, MEMBERS
OF THE BOARD. THIS ITEM, AS SHE SAID, IS A PRESENTATION OF
UPDATED INVENTORY OF FACILITIES VIOLATING STATE MINIMUM
STANDARDS.

AS YOU KNOW, PRC, THAT'S PUBLIC RESOURCES

CODE, SECTION 44104 REQUIRES THE BOARD TO UPDATE AND PUBLISH

THE INVENTORY TWICE EACH YEAR. THE LAST UPDATE AND

PUBLICATION WAS IN OCTOBER, ACTUALLY, OF '98.

AT THE TIME THERE WERE 28 FACILITIES IN THE INVENTORY. THE CURRENT INVENTORY, WHICH IS ATTACHMENT 1 OF YOUR ITEM PACKET, HAS 24 FACILITIES. OF THE FACILITIES CURRENTLY LISTED 18 ARE FOR LANDFILL GAS VIOLATIONS, AND SIX ARE FOR NON-LANDFILL GAS VIOLATIONS.

SINCE THE LAST UPDATE EIGHT FACILITIES WERE REMOVED FROM THE LIST, AND FOUR WERE ADDED. THREE OF THE FACILITIES FROM THE LAST LIST WERE REMOVED FOR CORRECTING LANDFILL GAS VIOLATIONS, AND FIVE WERE REMOVED FOR CORRECTING NON-LANDFILL GAS VIOLATIONS. OF THE FOUR FACILITIES ADDED TO THE LIST SINCE THE LAST UPDATE TWO ARE

FOR LANDFILL GAS VIOLATIONS, AND TWO ARE FOR OTHER STATE MINIMUM STANDARDS VIOLATIONS, OR NON-LANDFILL GAS VIOLATIONS.

I'D LIKE TO SHOW YOU AN OVERHEAD OF -- TO SHOW YOU WHAT THE TREND IS WITH THE INVENTORY, IN TERMS OF THE NUMBERS.

A PREVIOUS EDITION OF THE BOARD SET A GOAL OF REDUCING THE NUMBER OF FACILITIES IN THE INVENTORY FOR NON-LANDFILL GAS VIOLATIONS BY 25 PERCENT BY THE YEAR 2001. USING THAT NUMBER AS A BASIS, USING THE NUMBER OF FACILITIES THAT WERE LISTED FOR NON-LANDFILL GAS AS OF JANUARY '97, THEY WANTED TO REDUCE THAT NUMBER BY 25 PERCENT, WHICH WOULD PUT THE NUMBER AT ABOUT 20 FACILITIES LISTED FOR NON-LANDFILL GAS VIOLATIONS.

2.2

OKAY. AS YOU COULD SEE FROM THE GRAPH THERE,
WE HAVE EXCEEDED THAT GOAL SIGNIFICANTLY. IN ADDITION, WE
ARE SEEING A STEADY DECLINE IN THE TOTAL NUMBER OF
FACILITIES LISTED. IF THE TREND CONTINUES WE WILL
EVENTUALLY COME TO A STEADY STATE OF APPROXIMATELY A DOZEN
OR SO FACILITIES LISTED EVERY TIME FOR EACH UPDATE.

FOR YOUR INFORMATION, THE UPDATE INVENTORY

AND A READING GUIDE TO IT ARE AVAILABLE ON THE BOARD'S WEB

SITE, IF YOU'RE INTERESTED.

AND, THIS CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION. ARE

THERE ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT THE INVENTORY IN GENERAL, OR ABOUT SPECIFIC FACILITIES ON THE LIST?

CHAIRMAN EATON: QUESTIONS?

MEMBER JONES: JUST A COMMENT. I THINK THAT P&E
STAFF NEEDS TO BE CONGRATULATED. THIS THING HAS GONE THE
RIGHT DIRECTION. THE LANDFILL GAS ISSUES ARE ISSUES THAT
TAKE TIME, MONEY AND EFFORT TO -- AND PLENTY OF LOCAL
POLITICS -- BUT, I'M HAPPY TO SEE THAT WE'VE GOT LESS LITTER
VIOLATIONS, LESS SECURITY VIOLATIONS, THOSE TYPES OF THINGS
THAT ARE TRULY MANAGEABLE. SO, I THINK PEOPLE ARE DOING
THEIR JOB.

CHAIRMAN EATON: THANK YOU.

AS MENTIONED PREVIOUSLY, THAT COMPLETES THIS PORTION OF THIS MORNING'S.... WE'LL STAND IN RECESS UNTIL 1:15, AT WHICH TIME WE WILL HEAR ITEM NO. 10, AND THEN GO INTO OUR PUBLIC HEARING.

(WHEREUPON, THE LUNCHEON RECESS WAS TAKEN.)

- - - -

CALIFORNIA SHORTHAND REPORTING

44 AFTERNOON SESSION 1:15 O'CLOCK P.M. 10 -- 000 --CHAIRMAN EATON: ALL RIGHTY, THE APRIL 27TH 11 12 CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD MEETING IS BACK 13 IN SESSION. WE HAVE TWO REMAINING ITEMS ON TODAY'S 14 15 PORTION OF THE AGENDA. WE DO HAVE A HEARING THAT'S SCHEDULED FOR 1:30, WE HAVE A FEW MINUTES BEFORE THEN, AND I 16 17 BELIEVE WITH NOT TOO MUCH INCONVENIENCE TO THE HEARING, THAT 18 WE WOULD BE ABLE TO TAKE UP ITEM NO. 10 BEFORE ITEM NO. 9. 19 SO, WITHOUT ANY OBJECTION TO BE MOVING IN THAT DIRECTION, 20 WE'LL PROCEED WITH ITEM NO. 10. 21 AGENDA ITEM 10: CONSIDERATION AND APPROVAL OF THE 2.2 SUSTAINABLE BUILDING CONCEPTUAL PLAN AND THE ROLE OF THE 23 CIWMB IN SUSTAINABLE BUILDING ACTIVITIES 24 MS. FREVERT: HELLO, I'M KATHY FREVERT FROM THE

WASTE PREVENTION AND MARKET DEVELOPMENT DIVISION HERE AT THE BOARD. AND I'M HERE TODAY TO ADDRESS AGENDA ITEM 10, WHICH IS THE SUSTAINABLE BUILDING PLAN.

AND I WANT TO START OFF BY RECOGNIZING -- WE HAVE OUR SUBCONTRACTOR ON THIS PROJECT, DAVID GOTTFRIED HERE, FROM GOTTFRIED TECHNOLOGIES, ALSO KNOWN AS GTEK, AND I'LL BE REFERRING TO THAT THROUGHOUT THIS PRESENTATION.

AS WE BEGIN I WANT TO HIGHLIGHT THAT THE SUSTAINABLE BUILDING PLAN IS A CONCEPTUAL PLAN. AND BY THIS IT MEANS -- BY THIS WE MEAN THAT IT IS A PLAN THAT DOESN'T CONTAIN ALL OF THE IMPLEMENTATION STEPS, THAT COMES A LITTLE BIT LATER. IT DOES CONTAIN A VISION AND GOALS FOR THE LONG TERM, IT HAS TWO-YEAR RECOMMENDATIONS OF HOW WE CAN BEST SPEND UP TO \$500,000 TO ADVANCE SUSTAINABLE BUILDING PROGRAMS. AND, IF APPROVED, IT WOULD BE FOLLOWED BY AN IMPLEMENTATION PLAN AND CONTRACT CONCEPTS.

2.2

WHAT IS A SUSTAINABLE BUILDING, OR A GREEN BUILDING? SIMPLY PUT, IT IS A STRUCTURE DESIGNED, BUILT, RENOVATED, OPERATED, OR REUSED IN AN ECOLOGICAL AND RESOURCE-EFFICIENT MANNER. IT RESULTS IN ENHANCED OCCUPANT HEALTH, PRODUCTIVITY, COST SAVINGS, AND A BETTER ENVIRONMENT.

HOW DOES THIS RELATE TO YOUR MISSION?

SUSTAINABLE BUILDINGS IMPROVE PUBLIC HEALTH, CONSERVE

RESOURCES, REDUCE POLLUTION, IMPROVE MARKETS FOR USED AND RECYCLED-CONTENT BUILDING AND LANDSCAPING MATERIALS, AND IT ALSO REDUCES C&D WASTE ENTERING LANDFILLS.

THE PROCESS TO DEVELOP THIS PLAN TO DATE HAS BEEN -- BACK IN OCTOBER WE HAD A CONTRACT WITH THE CITY OF SANTA MONICA. IN DECEMBER WE HAD A MEETING WITH THE BOARD AND GTEK TO LOOK AT A VISION AND GOALS, AND WHO IS OUR AUDIENCE IN THIS, AND WE IDENTIFIED OURSELVES AS STATE GOVERNMENT AS BEING A KEY AUDIENCE, ALONG WITH LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

2.2

IN FEBRUARY GTEK PRESENTED ITS DRAFT PLAN.

AND THEY HAD A BRAINSTORMING SESSION TOWARD THE END OF THE MONTH WHERE THEY FOCUSED IN ON THE MEASURES THAT -- THE MENU OF OPTIONS BEFORE US, TO FINE TUNE THOSE. AND THE RESULT WAS A MEETING WITH THE BOARD TO PRESENT THOSE OPTIONS. AND THEN, FINALLY, THE DRAFT PLAN THAT WE HAVE BEFORE US TODAY.

THE DECISIONS FOR THE BOARD TODAY ARE TO LOOK
AT, DO WE WANT TO MOVE FORWARD WITH IMPLEMENTING THE
SUSTAINABLE BUILDING PLAN. WE SEEK SOME DIRECTION ON THE
IMPLEMENTATION, AND THEN APPROVAL OF OUR NEXT STEPS.

THE MEASURES THAT GTEK CONSIDERED WHILE

DEVELOPING THIS WERE STANDARDS AND REGULATIONS, FINANCIAL

INCENTIVES, DEMONSTRATION BUILDINGS, INFORMATIONAL

RESOURCES, EDUCATION, TRAINING, BUILDING RATING SYSTEMS,

GUIDELINES, CERTIFICATION OF PROFESSIONALS, MATCHED FUNDING
AND PARTNERSHIPS, AND FINALLY AN EXECUTIVE-LEVEL COMMITTEE.

TO THESE MEASURES, THIS MENU OF OPTIONS, THEY CONSIDERED

VARIOUS CRITERIA.

ONE REALLY KEY ONE IS ARE WE ABLE TO COMPLETE IT IN TWO YEARS. WE HAVE THE MONEY ALLOCATED FOR THIS, A TOTAL OF \$500,000 IS TO BE SPENT IN TWO YEARS.

DOES A MEASURE MEET A REAL NEED? DOES IT

FILL A GAP? IS IT AN APPROPRIATE ROLE FOR US? IS THERE A

HIGH OVERALL IMPACT IN RESULTS THAT CAN BE EXPECTED? ARE

THERE PARTNERING POTENTIAL, OPPORTUNITIES FOR LEVERAGE?

DOES IT PROVIDE US WITH EXPERIENCE AND VISIBILITY? IS IT A

MAJOR DRIVER TO THE GOALS AND VISION THAT WE DEVELOPED?

AND, ALSO, AND VERY IMPORTANTLY, DOES IT HAVE A MOMENTUM, DO

WE GET THE FUNDS AND CREATE INSTITUTIONAL CHANGES THAT WILL

KEEP THIS SOMETHING THAT'S ENDURING?

2.2

GTEK CAME BACK AND RECOMMENDED TO US THAT,

ONE, WE CREATE A SUSTAINABLE BUILDING EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE,

THAT WE CREATE A SUSTAINABLE BUILDING GRANT PROGRAM AND,

FINALLY, THAT WE PROVIDE EDUCATION, TRAINING, AND GUIDELINES

DEVELOPMENT.

AND I'M GOING TO WALK BRIEFLY THROUGH THESE
THREE RECOMMENDED MEASURES, TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THEM,
THEIR PURPOSE, WHAT ARE SOME OPTIONS FOR IMPLEMENTING THEM,

AND OUR RECOMMENDATION.

2.2

FOR THE EXECUTIVE-LEVEL COMMITTEE, THAT WOULD BE A COALITION OF SOME OF THE -- IT'S A HIGH-LEVEL COMMITTEE WITH VARIOUS BOARDS, DEPARTMENT, AGENCIES THAT ARE INVOLVED WITH SUSTAINABLE BUILDING DEVELOPMENT. IT WOULD ALSO INCLUDE SUSTAINABLE BUILDING EXPERTS, PRIVATE SECTOR ENTITIES SUCH AS UTILITIES, PERHAPS SOME OF THE COMPANIES THAT HAVE SUSTAINABLE BUILDINGS LIKE THE GAP, THAT COMES TO MIND.

THE PURPOSE OF THIS COMMITTEE WOULD BE

OVERALL SUPERVISION, MANAGEMENT, AND INTEGRATION OF STATE

AGENCY SUSTAINABLE BUILDING EFFORTS, TO IDENTIFY AND SEEK

APPROACHES TO INSTITUTIONALIZE THESE EFFORTS, AND -- THIS IS

A RATHER UNIQUE ONE, THIS LAST ITEM -- AND THAT'S TO SEEK

FUNDING TO ENSURE THE IMPLEMENTATION AND LONG-TERM FUTURE.

THERE MIGHT BE OPPORTUNITIES TO FIND -- TO DO MATCHED

FUNDING, TO LOOK AT SOME OF THE MONEY AVAILABLE THROUGH

UTILITIES. AND, SO THIS GROUP WOULD BE FOCUSING ON HOW WE

CAN GET ACCESS TO SOME OF THOSE FUNDS.

AS TO HOW WE IMPLEMENT IT, ONE OPTION IS THAT
WE SPEARHEAD THE EFFORT, AND IT'S SUPPORTED BY BOARD STAFF.
ANOTHER OPTION IS TO LOOK AT ANOTHER ENTITY TO SPEARHEAD
IT, YOU KNOW, PERHAPS CAL EPA, AND THEN IT WOULD BE
SUPPORTED BY BOARD STAFF AND OTHERS.

WE RECOMMEND OPTION 1A, THAT IT BE

SPEARHEADED BY US AND SUPPORTED BY OUR STAFF, MAINLY BECAUSE

IT GIVES US ASSURANCE THAT IT MOVES FORWARD, THAT IT

HAPPENS.

THE FUNDING RECOMMENDED FOR THIS IS 50,000, AND THAT'S PARTLY FOR SEED MONEY. IT DEALS WITH TRYING TO SOLICIT THE MATCHED FUNDINGS.

THE SUSTAINABLE BUILDING GRANT PROGRAM,
THAT'S LOOKING AT POTENTIAL USES OF GRANTS FOR UNDER 25K.
THESE COULD BE USED FOR SUSTAINABLE BUILDING DESIGN
ASSISTANCE. AND WE'VE HAD A NUMBER OF REQUESTS FROM LOCAL
GOVERNMENT FOR THAT TYPE OF ASSISTANCE: WORKSHOPS,
SPONSORSHIP, AID FOR EDUCATION AND TRAINING EFFORTS, AND
AWARD RECOGNITION PROGRAMS, ALONG WITH OTHER THINGS THAT
WOULD FIT THE CRITERIA YET TO BE ESTABLISHED.

2.2

THE PURPOSE, THEN, IS TO PROVIDE THE EXTRA

FUNDING TO EMPOWER AND LEVERAGE EXISTING BUILDING EFFORTS -
AND I EMPHASIZE EXISTING JUST BECAUSE OF THE TIMING

CONSIDERATION, WHERE WE HAVE TO IMPLEMENT THIS IN TWO YEARS.

AND TO MAKE SUSTAINABLE BUILDINGS HAPPEN.

OUR OPTIONS, ONCE AGAIN, IT'S A RANGE OF HOW MUCH DO WE DO IN-HOUSE VERSUS HOW MUCH DO WE RELY ON OTHERS.

ONE IS TO OPERATE A GRANT PROGRAM IN-HOUSE USING

COMPETITIVE GRANTS.

OPTION 2B IS TO DELEGATE THE AUTHORITY TO DISTRIBUTE FUNDS TO A STEERING COMMITTEE, NONPROFIT, OR OTHER ORGANIZATION, AND HAVE NON-COMPETITIVE GRANTS. THE BENEFIT HERE IS THAT THEY OFFER A FAIRLY QUICK TURNAROUND.

AND 2C IS TO CONTRACT WITH AN ORGANIZATION
THAT SPECIALIZES IN SCORING GRANTS, AND THEN HAVE THE REST
OF THE PROGRAM IN-HOUSE.

NOW, WITH ALL THESE OPTIONS I'M DISCUSSING,

THE IMPLEMENTATION PLAN, WE WOULD LOOK AT THEM MORE CLOSELY

FOR THE DETAILS OF HOW WE WOULD CARRY THAT OUT.

I RECOMMEND -- OUR RECOMMENDED OPTION HERE IS 2B, AND THAT IS TO DELEGATE THE AUTHORITY TO DISTRIBUTE FUNDS. AND WE RECOMMEND ALLOCATING 325,000 TO THIS OPTION.

OR, TO THIS MEASURE.

AND THE LAST AREA OF RECOMMENDATIONS LIES IN EDUCATION, TRAINING, AND GUIDELINES DEVELOPMENT, AND HERE WE WOULD BE LOOKING AT DEVELOPING GUIDELINES, CASE STUDIES, A TOOL KIT, WEB SITE, WORKSHOPS, FUNDING SOURCES -- FUNDING IS A MAIN BARRIER TO IMPLEMENTING SUSTAINABLE BUILDING PRACTICES -- AND TRACKING OUR PROGRESS.

THE PURPOSE, THEN, IS TO PROVIDE EASY ACCESS
TO EDUCATIONAL MATERIALS AND GUIDELINES, CREATE NEW
MATERIALS WHERE NEEDED, PROVIDE TRAINING, AND THEN MARKET
THESE RESOURCES.

OUR OPTIONS ARE, ONE, WE LOOKED AT CAN WE IMPLEMENT THIS IN-HOUSE; 3B DO WE CONTRACT WITH AN OUTSIDE ENTITY TO PROVIDE THESE SERVICES; OR, CAN WE IMPLEMENT IT THROUGH ANOTHER STATE AGENCY OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

WE RECOMMEND OPTION 3A, WHICH IS TO IMPLEMENT THIS IN-HOUSE. THE REASON BEING IS THAT WE HAVE A LOT OF EXPERTISE AMONG OUR STAFF ALREADY. WE HAVE QUITE A BIT OF EXPERIENCE IMPLEMENTING EDUCATION PROGRAMS, AND SO WE FEEL THAT THIS IS IN LINE WITH OUR EXPERIENCE AND EXPERTISE ALREADY.

WE DO HAVE SOME FUNDING THAT'S NEEDED IN THIS CATEGORY, BECAUSE WE DO HAVE TO RELY ON OUTSIDE ASSISTANCE FOR THINGS SUCH AS GUIDELINES DEVELOPMENT.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

AND, OUR RECOMMEND NEXT STEPS ARE TO ASSIGN STAFF -- WE SUGGEST THREE STAFF -- TO DEVELOP THIS, THE IMPLEMENTATION PLAN THAT I'VE REFERRED TO.

PART OF THIS EFFORT WILL BE TO DISTRIBUTE THE SUSTAINABLE BUILDING PLAN BEFORE YOU, SOLICIT FEEDBACK, AND FURTHER IDENTIFY THE SPECIFIC PROJECTS THAT NEED ASSISTANCE.

AND THEN, FINALLY, CREATE THE IMPLEMENTATION PLAN AND PREPARE THE CONTRACT CONCEPTS, WHICH WE WOULD COME BACK TO THE BOARD WITH.

AND THAT IS THE END OF THE POWER-POINT
24 PRESENTATION. AND DAVID GOTTFRIED AND I ARE AVAILABLE TO

ANSWER ANY OF YOUR QUESTIONS.

CHAIRMAN EATON: MEMBERS, ANY QUESTIONS?

MEMBER PENNINGTON: MR. CHAIRMAN.

CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. PENNINGTON.

MEMBER PENNINGTON: I THINK THIS IS A VERY GOOD REPORT. I'M CURIOUS, THOUGH, THAT IT'S DIRECTED MOSTLY AT OFFICE-TYPE BUILDINGS.

IS THAT CORRECT?

MS. FREVERT: AS COMPARED TO RESIDENTIAL?

MEMBER PENNINGTON: YES.

MS. FREVERT: YES, IT IS.

AND PERHAPS, DAVID, YOU CAN HELP EXPLAIN WHY

WE DID THAT.

THEN WE CAN HIT BOTH.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MR. GOTTFRIED: I WOULD SAY YES AND NO. WHEN YOU LOOK AT SUSTAINABLE BUILDING IT IS EASIEST TO START WITH COMMERCIAL BUILDINGS. ONE, BECAUSE YOU HAVE A LOT OF AGGREGATED DENSITY. IF YOU GET TO INDIVIDUAL HOMES IT'S VERY HARD TO DO A LOT FAST. BUT, WE LOOK TO LEVERAGE THROUGH LOCAL GOVERNMENTS, AND THEY HAVE A MANDATE TO DO BOTH COMMERCIAL AND RESIDENTIAL. SO, IF WE HELP THEM WITH GUIDELINES THEN THEY DO BOTH COMMERCIAL AND RESIDENTIAL,

SO I WOULD SAY THERE'S PROBABLY A BIAS WITH COMMERCIAL MOSTLY, BECAUSE THE U.S. HAS STARTED THERE WITH

ITS RATING SYSTEMS, BUT WE DO HAVE THE DUAL APPROACH -- AS WELL AS OTHER STRUCTURES, UNIVERSITIES, LABORATORIES, COMMERCIAL RETAIL, HOTELS, ANY OF THE AREAS THAT WASTE A LOT IN TERMS OF MATERIALS AND ENERGY.

MEMBER PENNINGTON: WELL, I GUESS WHAT I'M GETTING AT IS I'D LIKE TO SEE US MAYBE EXPLORE A LITTLE BIT MORE THE USE OF GRANT FUNDS AND INCENTIVES TOWARDS NONPROFIT HOUSING ORGANIZATIONS, LOW- TO MODERATE-INCOME HOUSING DEVELOPMENTS. THEY ARE ALWAYS LOOKING FOR ADDITIONAL FUNDING. THEY ARE HIGH-DENSITY DEVELOPMENT. AND, SO I WOULD THINK THAT IT WOULD BE AN AREA THAT WOULD GET US MOVING IN THAT RESIDENTIAL AREA, AND YET AN AREA THAT CAN ALWAYS USE THE FINANCIAL INCENTIVES THAT WE MIGHT BE ABLE TO PROVIDE THEM.

2.2

MR. GOTTFRIED: ONE OF OUR SUBCONTRACTORS WAS LYNN SIMON WITH GLOBAL GREEN, AND THEY HAVE DONE A LOT OF WORK WITH HABITAT FOR HUMANITY IN GREEN BUILDINGS, AND SO THERE'S A NATURAL PARTNERING THERE IS ONE IDEA.

MEMBER PENNINGTON: THERE'S A LOT OF LOCAL
GOVERNMENTS THAT ARE INVOLVED IN DEVELOPING LOW- TO
MODERATE-INCOME HOUSING WHICH I THINK THAT WE WOULD BE ABLE
TO WORK WITH HERE, TOO.

CHAIRMAN EATON: ANY QUESTIONS?

I WOULD AGREE WITH YOU, MR. PENNINGTON, THAT WE OUGHT TO LOOK AT THE RESIDENTIAL SIDE FOR A NUMBER OF

REASONS. AND I THINK SOME PEOPLE IN THE AUDIENCE WILL ALSO.

AND, AFTER ALL, THIS IS GOING TO BE AN IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

THAT WILL COME BACK TO US SO THAT WE GET AT LEAST ONE

ADDITIONAL LOOK, AND PERHAPS MORE, IN TERMS OF THE OVERALL

PLAN.

BECAUSE, ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I DISCOVERED AT LEAST WHEN -- IN MY TRIP DOWN TO THE RESIDENTIAL AREA -- WE WERE TALKING ABOUT FORT ORD, SO THIS KIND OF DOVETAILS WITH WHAT YOU'RE SAYING, ABSOLUTELY -- IS THE FACT THAT THE LIFE CYCLE ANALYSIS DOES NOT REALLY APPLY TO THE DEVELOPER IN SO MANY WAYS, BECAUSE HE OR SHE DOES NOT GET THE BENEFIT OF THE LIFE CYCLE ANALYSIS, SO THEIR BENEFIT IN SUSTAINABILITY MUST COME ON THE FRONT-END.

2.2

FOR INSTANCE, IF WE BUY THE HOME AND WE HAVE
AN ENERGY-EFFICIENT FURNACE OR SOME SORT OF MECHANISM, WE AS
THE HOMEOWNER WILL RECOUP THAT IN LOWER COSTS OVER THE TIME
THAT WE LIVE THERE. A DEVELOPER, WHEN HE OR SHE DEVELOPS
THE RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY --

MEMBER PENNINGTON: THEY'RE GONE. YEAH.

CHAIRMAN EATON: THEY'RE GONE. EXCEPT, YOU KNOW,
THERE'S A SITUATION. SO ONE OF THAT AREA IS SENSITIVE, IT'S
AN AREA THAT NEEDS TO BE LOOKED AT TO PROVIDE THOSE KINDS OF
INCENTIVES IN THE RESIDENTIAL. SO, I DON'T THINK THEY'RE
INCONSISTENT, BUT WE WOULD -- I THINK I WOULD AGREE WITH

YOU, THAT IF WE COULD GO BACK AND JUST TAKE A LOOK AT SOME ASPECTS OF IT.

THE OTHER SITUATION THAT I THINK THAT I HAVE

A CONCERN ABOUT IS THAT -- THAT THE DIRECTION, IN TERMS OF

DELEGATING THE GRANT AUTHORITY SHOULD COME, PROBABLY, BACK

TO THE BOARD TO BE LOOKED AT.

MEMBER PENNINGTON: ABSOLUTELY.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

CHAIRMAN EATON: AND I THINK THAT THAT'S JUST SOMETHING THAT -- YOU KNOW, IF WE CAN JUST -- AS PART OF YOUR PLAN, THAT THAT SHOULD BE LOOKED AT, AS OPPOSED TO LOOKING AT SOMETHING.... I DON'T BELIEVE WE'VE DONE TOO MANY CASES IN TERMS OF THAT DELEGATION, BUT IT SHOULD COME BACK, AND SO WE KEEP A HANDLE ON IT. AND I THINK THOSE ARE JUST MY COMMENTS.

SENATOR, MR. JONES, ANYTHING?

MEMBER JONES: WELL, I JUST HAD A QUESTION ON THAT SAME ISSUE ABOUT DELEGATING THIS AUTHORITY, THE RECOMMENDATION 2B. I'D LIKE A LITTLE MORE EXPLANATION AS TO THE ADVANTAGES OF DELEGATING THAT AUTHORITY.

IT SAYS A MORE DIVERSIFIED GROUP, STUFF LIKE

-- YOU KNOW, THINGS LIKE THAT, BUT WHAT'S THE BENEFIT OVER

US LOSING CONTROL OVER THOSE DOLLARS?

MS. FREVERT: WELL, ONE CONCERN THAT WE HAD WITH COMPETITIVE GRANTS, AT LEAST AS A COMPARISON, WAS THE TIME

IT TAKES FROM WHEN A REQUEST IS MADE TO WHEN THE FUNDS ARE DISTRIBUTED. AND THE THOUGHT WAS THAT BY DELEGATING THIS WE COULD GET FUNDS TO THE RECIPIENTS MORE QUICKLY.

AND, THAT WE THOUGHT WE COULD INCORPORATE

SOME MECHANISM TO HAVE THE OVERSIGHT THAT'S DESIRED BY

HAVING REPORTING -- REGULAR REPORTS COME BACK TO THE BOARD

ON HOW THINGS ARE PROGRESSING.

SO, WE THOUGHT WE COULD FIGURE OUT A WAY TO GIVE THE OVERSIGHT THAT SOMETHING LIKE THAT WOULD NEED.

2.2

MEMBER JONES: DID YOU HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO TALK
TO OUR ADMIN PEOPLE TO SEE IF WE COULD INCORPORATE WHAT THE
BENEFITS WOULD BE OF TURNING OVER THAT RESPONSIBILITY, THAT
IF WE DID IT IN-HOUSE WHAT THEY'D HAVE TO CHANGE OR WHAT
THEY'D HAVE TO LOOK AT TO SEE IF WE COULD GET THE SAME
RESULTS?

MS. FREVERT: I SPOKE TO A NUMBER OF PEOPLE A LONG
TIME, I'M NOT -- YOU KNOW, IT WAS QUITE A WHILE BACK. BUT
WE CAN LOOK AT THAT MORE CLOSELY IN OUR IMPLEMENTATION PLAN
AND KEEP THAT OPEN, IF THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL.

MR. GOTTFRIED: ONE OTHER IMPORTANT ASPECT -- AND THIS DOESN'T HAVE TO BE THE OVERALL APPROVAL, BUT AS PART OF THE REVIEW OF THE GRANT, WOULD BE TO HAVE A MULTI-DISCIPLINE TASK FORCE THAT HAD GREEN BUILDING EXPERTISE, WHICH MIGHT BE ARCHITECTS, ENGINEERS, CONTRACTORS, PLANNERS, FROM THE

MULTITUDE OF EXPERTISE, PERHAPS, OUTSIDE OF WHAT THE WASTE BOARD HAD. SO THAT COULD BE A STEP ONE, AND THEY MIGHT RANK IT AND SCALE IT, AND THEN THE WASTE BOARD WOULD MAKE FINAL DECISION.

MEMBER JONES: I WOULDN'T HAVE ANY PROBLEM WITH THAT. I AM A BIG PROPONENT OF HAVING MORE EYES LOOKING AT GRANTS, SO THAT'S FINE.

MS. FREVERT: OKAY.

MR. CHANDLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, MAYBE WE COULD LOOK
AT A CONCEPT SIMILAR TO WHAT WE HAVE INCORPORATED FOR OUR
TECHNICAL LOAN REVIEW COMMITTEE, WHERE WE HAVE THE EXPERTISE
LOOKING AT THE INDIVIDUAL APPLICATIONS, BUT ULTIMATELY THOSE
RECOMMENDATIONS COME TO THE BOARD FOR FINAL REVIEW AND
CONCURRENCE. SO, YOU CAN KIND OF EMBODY THE BEST OF BOTH
MECHANISMS.

CHAIRMAN EATON: AND THIS WOULD BE THE SECOND

STAGE ANYWAYS. IS THAT CORRECT? I MEAN, THE -- IF THEY GET

THE GRANT THEY COME BACK WITH AN IMPLEMENTATION PLAN?

MS. FREVERT: YEAH.

CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY. SO THAT IT WOULD BE --

MS. FREVERT: THERE IS A PART TWO, YES.

CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY. I THINK THAT'S A GOOD

OPTION TO LOOK AT.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. FREVERT: OKAY.

CHAIRMAN EATON: ARE THERE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS, COMMENTS? A MOTION? MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN? CHAIRMAN EATON: UM-HUM. MEMBER JONES: I WANT TO CHANGE PART OF THE MOTION THAT'S IN OUR PACKETS. I WANT TO ADOPT -- OR, I MOVE TO ADOPT RESOLUTION 1999-142, AND ON THE SECOND PAGE -- OR, ON THE BACK PAGE THE FUNDING WOULD BE 1A FOR 50 GRAND, 2A FOR 325 AS OPPOSED TO 2B, AND THEN 3A FOR 125. 10 CHAIRMAN EATON: SECOND? ALL RIGHTY --11 MEMBER PENNINGTON: I'LL SECOND IT. CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY. MR. JONES MOVES, AND MR. 12 13 PENNINGTON SECONDS, THAT WE ADOPT RESOLUTION 1999-142, WITH 14 THE AMENDMENT BEING IN THE "NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED" 15 CLAUSE CHANGING LETTER 2B RELATING TO THE \$325,000 ALLOCATION TO 2A. ALL RIGHTY? 16 17 MADAM SECRETARY, WOULD YOU PLEASE CALL THE 18 ROLL? MEMBER PENNINGTON: EXCUSE ME JUST ONE MOMENT, MR. 19 20 CHAIRMAN. 21 CHAIRMAN EATON: I'M SORRY. 2.2 MR. PENNINGTON? 23 MEMBER PENNINGTON: I WANT TO MAKE SURE I 24 UNDERSTAND, WHAT IS 2A?

CALIFORNIA SHORTHAND REPORTING

CHAIRMAN EATON: 2A IS, IF YOU GO BACK -- I THINK, AS MR. JONES HAS USED THE CRITERIA, THAT IT COMES BACK TO --(THE BOARD MEMBERS CONFER.) MEMBER PENNINGTON: OKAY, THAT'S FINE. YEAH. CHAIRMAN EATON: IS THAT OKAY? MEMBER PENNINGTON: YEAH, OH, ABSOLUTELY. CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY. OKAY. MEMBER PENNINGTON: I'M VERY BIG ON DELEGATING OUR AUTHORITY. 10 CHAIRMAN EATON: ALL RIGHT. MADAM SECRETARY, 11 PLEASE CALL THE ROLL. 12 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBERS JONES? 13 MEMBER JONES: AYE. 14 THE SECRETARY: PENNINGTON? 15 MEMBER PENNINGTON: AYE. THE SECRETARY: ROBERTI? 16 17 MEMBER ROBERTI: AYE. THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN EATON? 18 CHAIRMAN EATON: AYE. 19 20 THE RESOLUTION PASSES. 21 ALL RIGHTY. NOW WE WILL RECESS THE REGULAR 22 SESSION AND MOVE TO ITEM 9, WHICH IS A PUBLIC HEARING FOR 23 THE CONSIDERATION OF THE REVOCATION OF ECONOMY TIRE SERVICE 24 MINOR WASTE TIRE FACILITY PERMIT.

CALIFORNIA SHORTHAND REPORTING

BECAUSE THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING, MADAM SECRETARY, WOULD YOU PLEASE CALL THE ROLL?

THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBERS JONES?

MEMBER JONES: HERE.

THE SECRETARY: PENNINGTON?

MEMBER PENNINGTON: PRESENT.

THE SECRETARY: ROBERTI?

MEMBER ROBERTI: HERE.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN EATON?

CHAIRMAN EATON: PRESENT.

A QUORUM IS PRESENT.

AGENDA ITEM 9: PUBLIC HERAING FOR THE CONSIDERATION OF REVOCATION OF THE MINOR WASTE TIRE FACILITY PERMIT ISSUED TO ECONOMY TIRE SERVICE, STANISLAUS COUNTY

CHAIRMAN EATON: BEFORE WE BEGIN LET ME TAKE A FEW MOMENTS, AS IS ALWAYS IN KEEPING WITH GOOD COUNSEL, TO EXPLAIN HOW THIS HEARING WILL PROCEED, FOR THE BENEFIT OF ALL OF THOSE CONCERNED.

FIRST, ALL THE WITNESSES WHO WILL BE
TESTIFYING IN THE PUBLIC HEARING LISTED AS AGENDA ITEM 9 IN
THE BOARD'S NOTICE WILL BE SWORN IN BY THE COURT RECORDER
ALL AT ONE TIME.

SECOND, THE BOARD'S LEGAL COUNSEL WILL GIVE A
DESCRIPTION OF THE LEGAL FRAMEWORK BY WHICH THE HEARING WILL

BE CONDUCTED, INCLUDING THE RELEVANT STATUTES, REGULATIONS,
AND REVIEW OF THE HEARING PROCEDURES AND ISSUES TO BE
DECIDED.

THIRD, THE BOARD WILL -- STAFF WILL MAKE A PRESENTATION TO THE BOARD REGARDING THE REQUIREMENTS, NON-COMPLIANCE, AND RECOMMENDATION.

FOURTH, THE ECONOMY TIRE SERVICE WILL BE
ALLOWED TO MAKE A PRESENTATION TO THE BOARD IN RESPONSE TO
STAFF'S COMMENTS. THEREAFTER THE BOARD CAN REBUT ANY OF THE
STATEMENTS MADE BY ECONOMY TIRE SERVICE IN ITS REBUTTAL
PRESENTATION.

IS THERE ANY QUESTIONS WITH REGARD TO ANY OF THE PROCEDURES THAT WE'RE ABOUT TO EMBARK ON HERE TODAY?

HEARING NONE, I WILL ASK ALL THE PARTIES THAT

ARE GOING TO BE WITNESSES AS IT RELATES TO THIS AGENDA ITEM

AND PUBLIC HEARING TO STAND AND BE SWORN IN WHILE THE COURT

REPORTER ADMINISTERS THE OATH.

WHEREUPON,

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

ALL PERSONS WHO SHALL TESTIFY IN THE PUBLIC
HEARING FOR CONSIDERATION OF AGENDA ITEM 9
WERE DULY SWORN, AND DID TESTIFY AS FOLLOWS:

CHAIRMAN EATON: ALL RIGHTY. I THINK, MS. SMALL, YOU WILL BEGIN THE PRESENTATION?

MS. SMALL: I SHALL.

CHAIRMAN EATON: WELCOME AGAIN.

MS. SMALL: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. GOOD AFTERNOON BOARD MEMBERS AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC. THIS PROCEDURE IS TO CONSIDER THE REVOCATION OF THE MINOR WASTE TIRE FACILITY PERMIT ISSUED TO SIXTO PORRAS, DOING BUSINESS AS ECONOMY TIRES.

MR. PORRAS HAS BEEN DOING BUSINESS UNDER THE BENEFIT OF A MINOR WASTE TIRE FACILITY PERMIT SINCE AUGUST 12, 1996. HOWEVER, PRIOR TO OBTAINING THAT PERMIT MR. PORRAS HAD COMMITTED VARIOUS VIOLATIONS OF THE PUBLIC RESOURCE CODE SECTIONS REGARDING THE STORAGE OF WASTE TIRES. AND, AFTER RECEIVING THE PERMIT THE SAME VIOLATIONS HAVE BEEN OCCURRING, WHICH ARE STORING MORE THAN 5,000 TIRES, WHICH IS PROHIBITED AT A MINOR WASTE TIRE FACILITY.

REVOCATION OF A WASTE TIRE FACILITY PERMIT IS GOVERNED BY PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE SECTION 42842, WHICH PROVIDES THE BASIS AND THE PROCEDURE. THE PROCEDURE IS A PUBLIC HEARING SUCH AS THIS ONE GOVERNED UNDER THE AB 59 PROCEDURES, WHICH ARE FOUND AT 44300 OF THE PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE.

AT THIS TIME WE'LL PROBABLY GO FORWARD, AND I WILL AUTHENTICATE VARIOUS EXHIBITS, AS I AM THE ONE KNOWLEDGEABLE ABOUT THEM, AND THEN I WILL HAVE MR. CAMBRIDGE AUTHENTICATE EXHIBITS WHICH HE IS KNOWLEDGEABLE ABOUT.

THESE EXHIBITS ARE ALL ATTACHED TO THE AGENDA ITEM AND WILL BE PART OF THE OFFICIAL RECORD.

THE FIRST EXHIBIT IS THE HEARING PROCEDURE, WHICH WE WILL NOT NEED TO AUTHENTICATE, AS IT IS NOT AN EVIDENTIARY DOCUMENT.

EXHIBIT 2 IS THE ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLAINT
WHICH WAS FILED WITH THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS.

I DRAFTED THIS COMPLAINT AND DID FILE IT WITH THE OFFICE OF
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS, AND THIS IS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY
OF THAT COMPLAINT.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

EXHIBIT 3 IS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE DECISION THAT WAS FORWARDED TO OUR OFFICES BY THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS, WHICH SETS FORTH THE PENALTY AMOUNT THAT WAS ISSUED WITH REGARD TO ECONOMY TIRES.

EXHIBIT 5 IS THE SECOND ADMINISTRATIVE

COMPLAINT WHICH I PREPARED AND FILED WITH THE OFFICE OF

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS, WITH REGARD TO ECONOMY TIRES. THIS

IS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE COMPLAINT WHICH WE DID

FILE WITH THAT OFFICE.

EXHIBIT 6 IS THE DECISION WHICH WAS FORWARDED TO OUR OFFICES BY THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS,
SETTING FORTH THE PARAMETERS OF THE DECISION AND THE ORDER REGARDING ECONOMY TIRES.

MR. CAMBRIDGE, WITH REGARD TO EXHIBIT NO. 4

CAN YOU IDENTIFY THAT DOCUMENT FOR ME?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. CAMBRIDGE: YES. ITEM NUMBER FOUR IS THE MINOR WASTE TIRE FACILITY PERMIT ISSUED TO ECONOMY TIRE SERVICE.

(WHEREUPON, AGENDA ITEM 9 AGENCY EXHIBIT NOS. 1 THROUGH 6 WERE IDENTIFIED FOR THE RECORD.)

MS. SMALL: FOR THE RECORD, IS THERE ANYONE WHO OBJECTS TO THE AUTHENTICATION OF THOSE DOCUMENTS? AS THERE HAS BEEN NO OBJECTION, WE WILL GO FORWARD.

AT THIS POINT WE WILL BE PRESENTING EVIDENCE THROUGH THE TESTIMONY OF MR. CAMBRIDGE, HE WILL BE DOING IT IN THE FORM OF A SOLILOQUY SUPPORTED BY SLIDES THAT WILL BE AVAILABLE FOR YOUR VIEWING ON THE OVERHEADS. THE TESTIMONY HE WILL BE GIVING WILL BE SHOWING A RECURRING PATTERN OF VIOLATION OF THE STORAGE REQUIREMENTS AT A MINOR WASTE TIRE FACILITY.

MR. CAMBRIDGE?

MEMBER JONES: MS. SMALL, JUST --

CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. JONES?

MEMBER JONES: -- WHAT PAGE WERE YOU ON? AS YOU WERE LISTING EXHIBITS, SOME ARE NUMBERED, SOME ARE LETTERED.

SO I WANT TO MAKE SURE I -- I KNOW WHAT A FACILITY PERMIT LOOKS LIKE, BUT I'D LIKE TO HAVE IT -- THE RIGHT NUMBER

DOWN.

MS. SMALL: THE COPY I HAVE SAYS EXHIBIT 4 IN THE UPPER RIGHT-HAND CORNER.

MEMBER JONES: OKAY.

CHAIRMAN EATON: MINE SAYS 9-72.

MS. SMALL: WHAT MAY BE HAPPENING IS --

CHAIRMAN EATON: BUT I DON'T THINK WE HAVE THE

EXHIBIT --

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

19

2.2

MEMBER PENNINGTON: MINE SAYS Q-62 --

MS. SMALL: WHAT MAY BE HAPPENING IS THE

COMPLAINTS HAVE MULTIPLE LETTERED EXHIBITS.

CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY, NO PROBLEM.

MS. SMALL: GO AHEAD, MR. CAMBRIDGE.

WHEREUPON,

KEITH E. CAMBRIDGE,

HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY DULY SWORN, DID TESTIFY AS FOLLOWS:

MS. SMALL: COULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME FOR

18 THE RECORD?

MR. CAMBRIDGE: KEITH E. CAMBRIDGE.

20 MS. SMALL: AND ARE YOU CURRENTLY EMPLOYED WITH

21 THE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD?

MR. CAMBRIDGE: YES, I AM.

MS. SMALL: AND HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN SO

24 EMPLOYED?

CALIFORNIA SHORTHAND REPORTING

MR. CAMBRIDGE: SINCE NOVEMBER 1992.

MS. SMALL: AND WHAT ARE YOUR CURRENT DUTIES WITH THE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD?

MR. CAMBRIDGE: TO CONDUCT AND INVESTIGATE

INSPECTIONS OF WASTE TIRE FACILITIES AND WASTE TIRE HAULERS.

MS. SMALL: AND WITHIN THOSE DUTIES HAVE YOU BECOME FAMILIAR WITH THE ESTABLISHMENT OF ECONOMY TIRES SERVICE ON CROWS LANDING ROAD IN MODESTO, CALIFORNIA?

MR. CAMBRIDGE: YES, I HAVE.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. SMALL: AND COULD YOU PLEASE OFFER YOUR
TESTIMONY IN THE FORM OF SOLILOQUY REGARDING THAT SITE?

MR. CAMBRIDGE: YES.

MR. CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE BOARD.

IF I CAN HAVE THE FIRST SLIDE, PLEASE? ON
DECEMBER 29TH, 1994, I CONDUCTED A WASTE TIRE FACILITY
INSPECTION OF ECONOMY TIRES SERVICE, WHICH IS LOCATED AT
1024 CROWS LANDING ROAD IN MODESTO, CALIFORNIA. MR. SIXTO
PORRAS OPERATED THIS BUSINESS. AT THE TIME OF THE
INSPECTION I OBSERVED MORE THAN 8,000 WASTE TIRES AT THE
SITE AND DOCUMENTED VIOLATIONS OF TITLE 14 SECTION 17351,
WHICH IS FIRE PREVENTION MEASURES; 17353, WHICH ARE VECTOR
CONTROL MEASURES; AND 17354, WHICH IS WASTE TIRE STORAGE
STANDARDS.

ON JANUARY 5TH, 1995, I THEN SENT A LETTER OF

VIOLATION TO MR. PORRAS BY U.S. MAIL REQUESTING THAT A CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN BE SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD BY MARCH 10TH, 1995. THIS LETTER STATED THAT MR. PORRAS WAS STORING IN EXCESS OF 8,000 TIRES ON SITE, AND THAT THE ABOVE VIOLATIONS WERE NOTED. NO PLAN WAS RECEIVED.

ON MARCH 15TH, 1995, I SENT A WARNING LETTER TO MR. PORRAS BY U.S. MAIL REQUESTING THAT A PLAN BE SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD BY APRIL 7TH, 1995. AN APPLICATION WAS RECEIVED AT THE BOARD.

2.2

CAN I HAVE THE NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. ON MAY 5TH,

1995, A LETTER FROM THE BOARD PERMITS BRANCH WAS ADDRESSED

AND POSTED TO MR. PORRAS ADVISING MR. PORRAS OF DEFICIENCIES

IN HIS MINOR WASTE TIRE FACILITY PERMIT APPLICATION AND

REQUESTED ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.

ON JUNE 22ND, 1995, NOTICE AND ORDER NUMBER 95-22
WAS ISSUED BY THE BOARD TO MR. PORRAS REQUESTING THAT ALL
WASTE TIRES BE REMOVED FROM THE SITE BY AUGUST 31ST, 1995,
OR TO OBTAIN A MINOR WASTE TIRE FACILITY PERMIT.

SLIDE PLEASE? ON JULY 25TH, 1995, A LETTER FROM MR. PORRAS WAS RECEIVED AT THE BOARD REQUESTING THAT A TIME EXTENSION BE GRANTED AS HE WAS ATTEMPTING TO OBTAIN THIS MINOR WASTE TIRE FACILITY PERMIT.

ON SEPTEMBER 26, 1995, I THEN WENT A WARNING
LETTER TO MR. PORRAS BY U.S. MAIL ADVISING MR. PORRAS THAT

HE HAD UNTIL OCTOBER 13TH, 1995, TO SUBMIT ALL THE REQUIRED PAPERWORK FROM THE LOCAL VECTOR CONTROL AND FIRE CONTROL AUTHORITIES, AND TO SUBMIT A COMPLETE PERMIT APPLICATION TO THE BOARD.

NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. ON NOVEMBER 1ST, 1995, I THEN CONDUCTED A SITE VISIT OF ECONOMY TIRES AND DOCUMENTED THE CONTINUING VIOLATIONS OF THE FIRE PREVENTION MEASURES AND WASTE TIRE STORAGE STANDARDS.

ON MARCH 8TH, 1996, AN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING WAS HELD IN SACRAMENTO WITH THE HONORABLE JAIME RENE RAMON CONCERNING THIS OPERATOR. IN THE ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLAINT 95-22A, IT WAS ALLEGED THAT THE OPERATOR FAILED TO OBTAIN A MINOR WASTE TIRE FACILITY PERMIT AND ABIDE BY THE APPLICABLE STATUTE AND REGULATIONS CONCERNING THE STORAGE OF THESE TIRES.

2.2

THE NEXT SLIDE? ON MARCH 14TH, 1996, JUDGE RAMON MADE A DECISION ON THE MATTER. A STIPULATION BETWEEN THE OPERATOR AND THE BOARD STATED THE PENALTY OF \$2500 WOULD BE ASSESSED TO MR. PORRAS UNLESS MR. PORRAS CAME INTO COMPLIANCE WITH THE PERMITTING REQUIREMENTS AND SUBMITTED A COMPLETE APPLICATION FOR PERMIT BY MAY 10TH, 1996. IF THIS TASK WAS ACCOMPLISHED THE PENALTY WOULD BE \$500. MR. PORRAS COMPLIED WITH THIS AGREEMENT AND PAID THE \$500.

ON AUGUST 12TH, 1996, A MINOR WASTE TIRE FACILITY

PERMIT WAS ISSUED TO -- BY THE BOARD TO MR. PORRAS WHICH REQUIRED THAT THE OPERATOR STORE NOT MORE THAN 4,999 TIRES ON SITE.

NEXT SLIDE. ON SEPTEMBER 12TH, 1996, I THEN AGAIN CONDUCTED ANOTHER SITE VISIT AT THIS LOCATION AND OBSERVED THAT MR. PORRAS WAS STORING IN EXCESS OF 7,000 WASTE TIRES ON SITE.

ON SEPTEMBER 18TH, 1996, I THEN SENT A LETTER

ADDRESSED, AND POSTED BY U.S. MAIL -- I'M SORRY -- BY

DOROTHY RICE, DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF THE PERMITTING AND

ENFORCEMENT DIVISION -- TO MR. PORRAS REQUIRING MR. PORRAS

TO REDUCE HIS TIRE PILE SIZE DOWN TO LESS THAN 5,000 TIRES,

OR RISK THE POSSIBLE SUSPENSION OF THAT MINOR PERMIT.

2.2

NEXT SLIDE. ON MAY 28TH, 1997, I THEN CONDUCTED

ANOTHER SITE VISIT OF THIS LOCATION AND OBSERVED THAT THIS

FACILITY WAS NOW STORING APPROXIMATELY 15,000 WASTE TIRES ON

SITE.

ON AUGUST 11TH, 1997, A SECOND ADMINISTRATIVE
HEARING WAS HELD IN SACRAMENTO WITH THE JUDGE RAMON
CONCERNING THIS OPERATOR AND THE FAILURE TO ABIDE BY THE
MINOR WASTE TIRE FACILITY TERMS AND CONDITIONS. IN THE
ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLAINT 97-22A IT WAS ALLEGED MR. PORRAS
WAS IN VIOLATION OF PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE 42823, WHICH
STATES THAT NO PERSON SHALL ESTABLISH A NEW MAJOR WASTE TIRE

FACILITY PERMIT OR EXPAND AN EXISTING MINOR WASTE TIRE
FACILITY PERMIT UNLESS THE PERSON HAS OBTAINED A MAJOR WASTE
TIRE FACILITY PERMIT ISSUED BY THE BOARD PURSUANT TO THAT
SECTION.

PRIOR TO THIS HEARING DATE MR. PORRAS REMOVED

APPROXIMATELY 9,000 WASTE TIRES FROM HIS SITE SO TO BE IN

COMPLIANCE WITH THIS PERMIT.

NEXT SLIDE. ON SEPTEMBER 19TH, 1997, JUDGE RAMON

MADE A DECISION ON THE MATTER. AGAIN, A MOTION WAS GRANTED

ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD FOR A PENALTY OF \$300, AS THE

OPERATOR HAD ALREADY REMOVED THE WASTE TIRES FROM THE SITE.

ON NOVEMBER 12TH, 1998, FRED MANDING OF THE STANISLAUS CONSOLIDATED FIRE DISTRICT AND MYSELF CONDUCTED ANOTHER SITE VISIT OF ECONOMY TIRES SERVICE, AND DOCUMENTED ONCE MORE THE OPERATOR WAS STORING MORE THAN THE PERMITTED AMOUNT OF WASTE TIRES. AT THAT TIME I ESTIMATED APPROXIMATELY 14,700 TIRES BEING STORED ON THE PERMITTED SITE. AND THE OPERATOR WAS IN VIOLATION OF THE SECTION 17354 WASTE TIRE STORAGE STANDARDS.

ON APRIL 23RD, 1999, I CONDUCTED ANOTHER SITE
VISIT OF THIS LOCATION AND DETERMINED THE OPERATOR HAD
REMOVED SEVERAL THOUSAND TIRES, BUT APPROXIMATELY 6,000 TO
7,000 TIRES STILL REMAINED ON SITE.

TODAY MR. PORRAS PROVIDED ME WITH DOCUMENTATION

THAT HE HAS REMOVED, SINCE THE NOVEMBER 12TH, 1998, VISIT APPROXIMATELY 13,000 TIRES.

I'D LIKE TO TAKE A FEW MOMENTS NOW TO SHOW PICTURES OF WHAT WAS TAKEN ON NOVEMBER 12TH, 1998.

NEXT SLIDE. THIS IS THE FRONT SHOT OF THE ECONOMY TIRE.

NEXT SLIDE. THIS IS THE ENTRANCE TO ECONOMY -ONE OF THE ENTRANCES TO ECONOMY TIRE, SHOWS A LOT OF TIRES
CLUTTERING AROUND THE ENTRANCE WAY, VERY HARD TO GAIN ACCESS
INTO THE SITE. WEEDS, THE TIRES ARE STORED HAPHAZARDLY.

NEXT SLIDE? ANOTHER SHOT.

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

NEXT SLIDE? THIS IS A PILE OF TIRES STORED IN THE VERY REAR OF THE PROPERTY. THIS IS THE MAJORITY OF THE TIRES, APPROXIMATELY 14,000 TIRES. SHOWED NO VECTOR CONTROL MEASURES BEING IN PLACE, AND NO WEED CONTROL.

NEXT SLIDE. ANOTHER SHOT OF THE TIRES.

NEXT SHOT, OR NEXT SLIDE? AGAIN, SHOWS WEEDS

GROWING IN AND AROUND THE TIRES, NO VECTOR CONTROL MEASURES,

TIRES BEING STORED IN CLOSE PROXIMITY TO OTHER BUSINESSES.

THE NEXT SLIDE? THIS IS THE ONLY ACCESS INTO THE SITE, WHICH IS ABOUT A THREE-FOOT PERIMETER -- OR, A THREE-FOOT WALKWAY THAT THE FIRE DEPARTMENT WAS WALKING THROUGH AT THE TIME. THERE'S NO ACCESS INTO IT WITH VEHICLES.

NEXT SLIDE? ANOTHER SHOT OF THAT.

NEXT SLIDE? AGAIN, ANOTHER SHOT OF THE TIRE PILE.

AND LASTLY -- I BELIEVE IT'S THE LAST SLIDE -
THIS IS A SHOT SHOWING THE TIRES BEING STORED ADJACENT TO

THE PERIMETER FENCE, WHICH IS AN ALLEYWAY BEHIND, WHICH IS

SUPPOSED TO BE 10 FEET FROM THE PERIMETER SITE.

ALL THESE PICTURES TAKEN WERE TAKEN ON NOVEMBER 12TH, 1995, BY MYSELF.

THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.

CHAIRMAN EATON: DID YOU SAY 1995?

MR. CAMBRIDGE: I'M SORRY, 1998, I STAND

CORRECTED.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MS. SMALL: JUST TO SUM UP MR. CAMBRIDGE'S

TESTIMONY, AS I BELIEVE HE HAS SHOWN THROUGH HIS TESTIMONY

AND THROUGH THE DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE THAT HAS BEEN PRESENTED

TO YOU ATTACHED TO THE AGENDA ITEM, MR. PORRAS HAS FOUND

HIMSELF IN VIOLATION OF THE PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE ON SEVERAL

OCCASIONS.

UNDER PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE SECTION 42842,
THE BOARD MAY DENY, SUSPEND, OR REVOKE A WASTE TIRE FACILITY
PERMIT IF THEY FIND THAT THERE HAVE BEEN THE FOLLOWING ACTS
COMMITTED: COMMISSION OF ANY VIOLATION OR FAILURE TO COMPLY
WITH ANY REQUIREMENT OF THE WASTE TIRE HAULER STATUTORY
SCHEME; ABATING, AIDING OR PERMITTING ANY VIOLATION OF THIS
CHAPTER. ABATING, PERMITTING OR AIDING A FAILURE TO COMPLY

WITH THIS CHAPTER.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.2

23

24

IF THE FAILURE OF THE COMPLIANCE SHOWS A REPEATING OR RECURRING PATTERN OR MAY POSE A THREAT TO PUBLIC SAFETY OR THE ENVIRONMENT, IF THE PARTY HAS COMMITTED ANY VIOLATION OF, OR FAILED TO COMPLY WITH ANY ORDER ISSUED BY THE BOARD RELATING TO THE SAFE STORAGE OR PROCESSING OF WASTE TIRES, OR IF THE PERSON HAS COMMITTED ANY MISREPRESENTATION OR OMISSION OF A SIGNIFICANT FACT, OR OTHER REQUIRED INFORMATION IN THE APPLICATION FOR A WASTE TIRE FACILITY PERMIT.

I BELIEVE THE EVIDENCE DOES SHOW THAT ECONOMY
TIRE HAS SHOWN A REPEATED FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE TERMS
OF THEIR PERMIT, AND THE REGULATIONS AND STATUTES SET FORTH
UNDER THE TIRE FACILITY PERMITTING SCHEME.

UNDER PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE SECTION 42843,

THIS PROCEDURE IS ALLOWED TO BE DONE BY THE BOARD ITSELF,

AND THERE IS NO E.A. INVOLVED. THEREFORE, ANY APPEAL FROM

THIS MATTER WOULD GO STRAIGHT TO THE SUPERIOR COURT.

AT THIS TIME WE WOULD LIKE TO ALLOW MR.

PORRAS TO MAKE ANY STATEMENT THAT HE WOULD LIKE TO MAKE,

UNLESS THE BOARD HAS ANY QUESTIONS OF THE STAFF.

CHAIRMAN EATON: ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS OF STAFF AT THIS TIME? NONE?

IS THERE ANYONE HERE ON BEHALF OF ECONOMY

TIRE? WELCOME. WHEREUPON,

SIXTO PORRAS,

HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY DULY SWORN, DID TESTIFY AS FOLLOWS:

MR. PORRAS: SIXTO PORRAS, ECONOMY TIRE.

I ALREADY REMOVED ALL THE TIRES THAT ARE DISPOSABLE TIRES, I JUST STILL HAVE MY USED TIRES THERE.

AND I HAVE SOME PICTURES HERE.

MS. SMALL: FOR THE RECORD, MR. PORRAS' TWO
PHOTOGRAPHS HAVE BEEN MARKED AS DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT A AND
EXHIBIT B. I WILL NOW PASS THEM TO THE BOARD MEMBERS.

(WHEREUPON, AGENDA ITEM 9

DEFENDANT EXHIBITS A AND B WERE

MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MR. PORRAS: I ALSO HAVE A LIST OF ALL THE -- THAT THE TIRES HAVE BEEN REMOVED LEGALLY AND EVERY -- AND WITH A LICENSED HAULER.

MEMBER PENNINGTON: MR. CHAIRMAN?

CHAIRMAN EATON: YES.

MEMBER PENNINGTON: CAN I ASK MR. PORRAS --

CHAIRMAN EATON: PLEASE.

MEMBER PENNINGTON: WHERE WERE THOSE TIRES TAKEN?

MR. PORRAS: SCRAP TIRE CO-OP IN TURLOCK,

24 CALIFORNIA.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

CHAIRMAN EATON: THANK YOU.

MR. PORRAS?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

2.2

MR. PORRAS: YEAH. AND I WAS THE BUSINESS OWNER

OF THE ECONOMY TIRE, BUT I -- NOW I AM THE PROPERTY OWNER,

SO I'M -- YOU KNOW, I'M PLANNING ON KEEPING IT CLEAN NOW AND

EXPANDING ON IT.

I'M NOT A -- I DON'T TAKE USED TIRES, WHAT I DO IS

I SELL THEM, AND THEY JUST -- I'M NOT LIKE OXFORD TIRE OR -
YOU KNOW, I DON'T -- I DON'T RECEIVE TONS OF TIRES IN AND

JUST KEEP THEM STORED THERE. I HAVE A PLACE I TAKE THEM IN

NOW, AND I HAVE A TRAILER REGULARLY THERE AND -- AND I...

MS. SMALL: ON MR. PORRAS' BEHALF, EXHIBIT C AND D HAVE BEEN MARKED. AND "C" -- YOU'LL HAVE TO EXPLAIN WHAT "C" IS, "D" I CAN TELL YOU ARE WASTE TIRE MANIFESTS INDICATING TIRES ARE PICKED UP AT HIS PLACE OF BUSINESS.

(WHEREUPON, AGENDA ITEM 9

DEFENDANT EXHIBITS C AND D WERE

MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MR. PORRAS: AND THE READ-OUT, THE COMPUTER READ-20 OUT....

MS. SMALL: AND THEY HAVE BEEN HAULED BY -- IT LOOKS LIKE --

CHAIRMAN EATON: FIRST, IF WE CAN JUST BEGIN, IS
HOW MANY PAGES ARE THERE TO EXHIBIT -- IS THAT "C"?

MS. SMALL: EXHIBIT D HAS THREE PAGES. CHAIRMAN EATON: THREE PAGES. MS. SMALL: AND IT'S SHOWING TIRES BEING HAULED ON JANUARY 23 --CHAIRMAN EATON: AND IF YOU COULD JUST GIVE A DESCRIPTION OF -- THE TITLE OF THE DOCUMENT, JUST FOR DOCUMENTATION --MS. SMALL: THEY ARE WASTE TIRE HAULER MANIFESTS. CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY. 10 MS. SMALL: AND THEY ARE NUMBERED 487, 335, AND 11 203, AND THEY ARE DATED 1/21/99, 3/9/99, AND 1/23/99. 12 MEMBER JONES: IS THE WHITE ONE A SUMMARY OF WHERE 13 ALL THE TIRES WENT? 14 MR. PORRAS: YEAH, THE WHITE --15 CHAIRMAN EATON: THAT WOULD BE EXHIBIT C, IS MY UNDERSTANDING. 16 17 MR. PORRAS: EXHIBIT C? CHAIRMAN EATON: "C." 18 MR. PORRAS: THAT'S THE -- A READOUT OF WHEN THEY 19 20 PICKED THEM UP, AND WHERE THEY WERE DELIVERED, AND BY WHO. 21 MS. SMALL: FOR THE RECORD, MR. PORRAS, CAN YOU 2.2 TELL US WHO THE WASTE HAULER WAS THAT YOU UTILIZED TO REMOVE 23 THE TIRES FROM YOUR SITE? 24 MR. PORRAS: SCRAP TIRE CO-OP.

MS. SMALL: THAT WAS THE HAULER? MR. PORRAS: YEAH, THEY HAULED THEM TO THEIR FACILITY. MEMBER PENNINGTON: AND THEY ARE LICENSED? MR. PORRAS: YEAH, THEY'RE LICENSED. MEMBER PENNINGTON: YOU KNOW THAT? MS. SMALL: IS THE PARTY THAT YOU DEALT WITH GODEN BYPRODUCTS? MR. PORRAS: YEAH, I THINK -- YEAH. BUT -- YEAH, 10 I --11 MS. SMALL: GODEN BYPRODUCTS IS A REGISTERED 12 HAULER AND THEY OPERATE THE SCRAP TIRE CO-OP. 13 MEMBER PENNINGTON: OH, SO THAT -- AND THAT'S 14 PERMITTED? 15 MS. SMALL: YES. 16 MEMBER PENNINGTON: OKAY. 17 MR. CAMBRIDGE: YES, IT IS ALSO A MAJOR WASTE TIRE FACILITY PERMIT. 18 19 CHAIRMAN EATON: YOU CAN PROCEED. 20 MR. PORRAS: YEAH. THE ONLY THING IS, IS THAT I 21 DON'T -- THAT I DON'T PLAN FOR THE TIRES TO GET LIKE THAT 22 AGAIN, BECAUSE I -- I AM -- I'M GOING TO BE EXPANDING THE 23 BUSINESS NOW THAT IT'S MINE. AND THAT'S ABOUT ALL I HAVE TO SAY. 24

MEMBER PENNINGTON: WHY HAVEN'T YOU APPLIED FOR A MAJOR PERMIT?

MR. PORRAS: BECAUSE I DON'T REALLY -- I THINK

IT'S REAL DIFFICULT TO GET A MAJOR PERMIT, AND I DON'T -- I

DON'T -- I'M NOT GOING TO -- I DON'T NEED MORE THAN 5,000

TIRES THERE, I ONLY NEED LIKE THREE OR FOUR.

MR. CAMBRIDGE: MR. PENNINGTON, IF I CAN ALSO ADD?

I SPOKE WITH THE STANISLAUS CONSOLIDATED FIRE DISTRICT THIS

DATE, AND THEY STATED THAT THEY WOULD NOT APPROVE A SITE

CONTAINING MORE THAN 5,000 WASTE TIRES DUE TO THE PROXIMITY

OF LOCAL RESIDENCES AND BUSINESSES THERE.

MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, I HAVE A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS.

CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. JONES.

MEMBER JONES: MR. PORRAS, YOU OWNED -- OKAY, NOW I UNDERSTAND YOU OWN THE PROPERTY.

MR. PORRAS: YEAH --

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MEMBER JONES: BUT YOU OWNED ECONOMY TIRE.

MR. PORRAS: THE BUSINESS, YEAH.

MEMBER JONES: THE BUSINESS.

MR. PORRAS: YEAH.

MEMBER JONES: TELL US HOW YOU OPERATE YOUR

BUSINESS. I MEAN, IF YOU DON'T TAKE IN WASTE TIRES --

MR. PORRAS: OH, OKAY.

MEMBER JONES: -- TELL US HOW YOUR BUSINESS WORKS
A LITTLE BIT SO WE UNDERSTAND.

MR. PORRAS: I DO TAKE IN WASTE TIRES, BUT THEY'RE CONSIDERED -- I CONSIDER THEM USED TIRES, BUT THEY'RE CLASSIFIED, ALL OF THEM, AS WASTE TIRES. BUT WHAT I DO IS I RESELL USED TIRES, THAT'S ABOUT 80 PERCENT OF MY JOB, AND I DO LABOR. AND THE OTHER'S ABOUT -- NEW TIRES, ABOUT -- THAT'S WHAT? -- THE OTHER 10 PERCENT.

BUT USUALLY I JUST -- IT'S A -- JUST LIKE A TIRE -- IT'S A TIRE.

MEMBER JONES: RIGHT. SO, HOW DO YOU RECEIVE THE USED TIRES? DO YOU RECEIVE THEM FROM OTHER TIRE STORES?

MR. PORRAS: YEAH, I RECEIVE THEM FROM -- I
RECEIVE THEM FROM ONE.

MEMBER JONES: FROM ONE TIRE STORE.

MR. PORRAS: FROM ONE TIRE STORE.

17 MEMBER JONES: SO THEY SELL TIRES, THEY GIVE YOU

18 THE USED ONES.

10

11

12

15

16

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MR. PORRAS: YEAH.

MEMBER JONES: ALL RIGHT.

MR. PORRAS: AND THEN WHATEVER'S NO GOOD --

MEMBER JONES: DO THEY PAY YOU TO TAKE THOSE?

MR. PORRAS: NO.

MEMBER JONES: THEY DON'T PAY YOU TO TAKE THEM?

MR. PORRAS: NO. MEMBER JONES: GOOD DEAL. SO YOU GO THROUGH THEM AND CLASSIFY WHICH ARE SELLABLE AND WHICH AREN'T. MR. PORRAS: YEAH, CORRECT. MEMBER JONES: WHAT'S YOUR PARAMETER ON THAT? OKAY, 2/32NDS RUBBER IS --MR. PORRAS: RIGHT. MEMBER JONES: -- A BAD TIRE. 10 MR. PORRAS: RIGHT. 11 MEMBER JONES: WHAT IS YOUR AVERAGE TIRE, SIX, 12 SEVEN --MR. PORRAS: YEAH. 13 14 MEMBER JONES: -- FOUR? 15 MR. PORRAS: THE WHAT, THE --16 MEMBER JONES: THIRTY-SECONDS OF RUBBER. I MEAN, 17 WHAT --MR. PORRAS: YEAH, WE GO -- WE GO ABOUT IF IT'S 50 18 19 PERCENT RUBBER LEFT ON WE USUALLY SELL THEM. 20 MEMBER JONES: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. NEW TIRES GOT WHAT? 21 MR. PORRAS: NEW TIRES -- WELL, THEY GOT A HUNDRED 22 23 PERCENT. MEMBER JONES: NO, NO, IF THEY'VE GOT SO MANY --24

THEY DON'T HAVE -- THEY DON'T COME WITH 32/32NDS OF TREAD. WHAT DO THEY COME WITH, LIKE 12, 14/32NDS --MR. PORRAS: YEAH, YEAH. YEAH, THEY GOT --MEMBER JONES: SO IF A TIRE'S GOT ABOUT SIX, THEN THAT'S A RESALABLE TIRE. MR. PORRAS: YEAH. MEMBER JONES: OKAY. AND THEN THE TIRES THAT AREN'T RESALABLE --MR. PORRAS: I GET THEM HAULED OFF. 10 MEMBER JONES: ALL RIGHT. AND DO YOU PAY SOMEBODY 11 TO DO THAT? 12 MR. PORRAS: YEAH. MEMBER JONES: THE -- WHAT WE SAW AS FAR AS THE 13 14 PICTURES OF THIS WAS PRETTY HAPHAZARD. 15 MR. PORRAS: YEAH. MEMBER JONES: THAT CREATES A PROBLEM BOTH FROM A 16 17 FIRE STANDPOINT.... AND HOW DO YOU CULL THESE TIRES IF THEY'RE 18 SITTING IN STACKS LIKE THAT? 19 20 MR. PORRAS: I DON'T HAVE THEM LIKE THAT ANYMORE. 21 I HAVE THEM IN ROWS AND IN RACKS. 2.2 MEMBER JONES: SO YOU'VE DEVELOPED A SYSTEM. 23 MR. PORRAS: YEAH. 24 MEMBER JONES: OKAY.

MR. PORRAS: I'M PLANNING TO PUT MORE RACKS ALSO,
BECAUSE THAT KEEPS THE WATER OUT OF THEM, AND IT KEEPS THE
MOSQUITO POPULATION DOWN.

MEMBER JONES: YOU PLAN TO PUT IN MORE RACKS --

MR. PORRAS: MORE RACKS --

MEMBER JONES: -- IF WE DON'T TAKE AWAY YOUR

PERMIT.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MR. PORRAS: CORRECT.

MEMBER JONES: OKAY.

MS. SMALL: DO YOU HAVE THAT LAST EXHIBIT, EXHIBIT D, THAT YOU WERE GOING TO DESCRIBE OR EXPLAIN -- OH, MR. JONES HAS IT.

I AM NOW GOING TO GIVE YOU A COPY OF THAT DOCUMENT MARKED AS EXHIBIT D THAT YOU GAVE TO MR. CAMBRIDGE EARLIER SO YOU CAN DESCRIBE IT TO THE BOARD MEMBERS.

MR. PORRAS: OKAY. THIS IS JUST A COPY THAT THEY SENT ME FROM THE SCRAP TIE CO-OP OF A -- I ASKED THEM FOR A READOUT OF EVERY TIME THEY'VE SENT ME A TRAILER, AND THERE'S A DATE THERE, LIKE STARTS AUGUST 18TH, SEPTEMBER 23RD. EACH DATE THEY'VE REMOVED ONE TRAILER. AND THAT TRAILER IS APPROXIMATELY A THOUSAND TIRES.

MEMBER JONES: THERE'S A LOT MORE TRAILERS HERE
THAN THERE ARE MANIFESTS.

MR. PORRAS: YEAH, I DIDN'T BRING ALL -- I DIDN'T

THINK I HAD TO BRING ALL THE MANIFESTS IN.

MEMBER JONES: YOU'VE GOT MANIFESTS FOR ALL OF THEM?

MR. PORRAS: I'VE GOT THEM ALL, YEAH, AND I -- I
CAN GIVE YOU THE NUMBER TO SCRAP TIRE CO-OP, TOO, SO YOU CAN
CHECK WHETHER IT'S TRUE.

MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, ANOTHER QUESTION.

CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. JONES.

MEMBER JONES: ARE THESE 28-FOOT TRAILERS THAT
YOU'RE LOADING A THOUSAND TIRES IN, 750 TIRES, OR ARE THEY
BIG 45-FOOTERS --

MR. PORRAS: FORTY-FIVE-FOOTERS.

MEMBER JONES: THEY'RE 45-FOOTERS. THEY HOLD

14 ABOUT RIGHT, ABOUT 1200 TIRES.

MR. PORRAS: YEAH, ABOUT 1200 --

MEMBER JONES: ABOUT 1200 TIRES?

MR. PORRAS: -- DEPENDS ON THE SIZE.

YEAH.

MEMBER JONES: OKAY.

20 MR. PORRAS: AND THEY'RE ALL PASSENGER TIRES,

21 THEY'RE NOT BIG.

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

MS. TOBIAS: SUSANNE, I NOTE THAT A NUMBER OF

THESE -- THE INVOICES THAT HE'S GOT OUT HERE, THERE'S -- THE

24 MOST RECENT ONE, STARTING IN -- WHAT DAY DID WE FILE THIS?

THIS, THE CURRENT ACTION?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. SMALL: THE CURRENT ACTION?

MR. PORRAS: ON NOVEMBER 13TH.

MS. SMALL: NO, THE CURRENT ACTION WOULD HAVE BEEN NOTICED WHEN THIS BOARD MEETING WOULD HAVE BEEN NOTICED, AND THEN HE WOULD HAVE GOTTEN NOTICE OF THAT 20 DAYS PRIOR TO THAT. SO, WE'RE TALKING MID FEBRUARY.

MS. TOBIAS: OKAY. BECAUSE I NOTICE THERE'S ONE REMOVAL IN FEBRUARY, TWO IN MARCH, ABOUT TWO WEEKS APART, AND THEN TWO IN APRIL. SO IS THAT SUFFICIENT TO GET ALL THESE TIRES OFF THE SITE WITH THIS --

MS. SMALL: HE HAS MANIFESTS -- TWO OF THOSE
MANIFESTS ARE FOR JANUARY --

MS. TOBIAS: WELL, THAT'S WHAT I'M QUESTIONING, IS
THAT THE MANIFESTS ARE FOR JANUARY, AND THEN EITHER AN
EARLIER OR A LATER ONE --

MS. SMALL: AND ONE IN MARCH.

MS. TOBIAS: -- AND -- OKAY, BUT --

MS. SMALL: AND THOSE -- FOR THE -- I BELIEVE YOU NOTED ABOUT SEVEN. IF YOU -- IF HE HAD SEVEN TRAILERS

PULLED OFF OF HIS PROPERTY HE -- THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN APPROXIMATELY SEVEN TO 9,000 TIRES. AND I BELIEVE HE WAS APPROXIMATELY 9,000 TIRES OVER HIS LIMIT.

MS. TOBIAS: OKAY. BECAUSE I NOTICE THERE'S FIVE

SINCE FEBRUARY. ONE IN FEBRUARY, TWO IN MARCH, TWO IN

APRIL. SO I'M JUST -- SINCE HIS MANIFESTS ARE SO OLD, YOU

KNOW, I'M NOT SURE WHY THE -- HE....

YOU KNOW, I THINK YOU SHOULD HAVE BROUGHT YOUR MOST RECENT ONES. WELL, YOU SHOULD HAVE BROUGHT ALL OF THEM.

BUT, THAT JUST SEEMED A LITTLE STRANGE THAT THOSE ARE REALLY OLD ONES, AND THEN --

MR. PORRAS: ONE OF THEM WAS LAST ONE I GOT I THINK. ISN'T IT DATED APRIL 19TH? THAT'S THE LAST ONE.

MS. SMALL: APRIL 9 I THINK.

MS. TOBIAS: NO.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. CAMBRIDGE: I MIGHT ADD, MR. PORRAS HAD
STARTED REMOVING THE TIRES WHEN I WAS OUT THERE ORIGINALLY
NOVEMBER 12TH. FROM THAT DATE FORWARD I BELIEVE THERE'S
APPROXIMATELY EITHER 12 OR 13 LOADS REMOVED.

MEMBER JONES: THERE'S THREE IN THE MONTH OF NOVEMBER.

MR. PORRAS: CORRECT.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MR}}$.$ CAMBRIDGE: AND I ADVISED MR. PORRAS ABOUT THE FACT OF THE 5,000 LIMIT, SO HE STARTED COMMENCING THERE WITH THE CLEANUP.

23 CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. PORRAS, ARE YOU AWARE OF THE 24 5,000 LIMIT?

MR. PORRAS: YES, I AM.

CHAIRMAN EATON: AND WERE YOU AWARE OF IT BACK IN 1995, WHEN YOU FIRST GOT SORT OF INTO A SITUATION --

MR. PORRAS: YEAH, THAT --

CHAIRMAN EATON: -- WITH THE BOARD?

MR. PORRAS: YEAH, THAT'S WHEN I FIRST STARTED FINDING OUT ABOUT THE -- USUALLY I JUST USED TO DEAL WITH THE FIRE DEPARTMENT.

CHAIRMAN EATON: RIGHT.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MR. PORRAS: AND THEY JUST TELL ME TO KEEP KEEPING FIRE LANES. BUT SINCE THEN I DO KNOW, SINCE 1995, YEAH.

CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY. SO WHY, THEN, WHEN WE HAD A SECOND COMPLAINT, ARE WE BACK WHERE WE WERE BACK IN 1995?

MY UNDERSTANDING IS, IS THAT YOU ONCE AGAIN
VIOLATED THE 5,000 LIMIT, IF I'M NOT --

MR. PORRAS: YEAH, I --

CHAIRMAN EATON: SO THE QUESTION BECOMES, FOR ME, IS I UNDERSTAND THE PREDICAMENT YOU'RE IN. BUT, IF YOU DIDN'T KNOW THAT'S ONE THING, BUT WE'VE BEEN FOUR YEARS NOW, AND EVERY TIME WE GO BACK OUT THERE THERE'S A PROBLEM. SO THE QUESTION THEN BECOMES, HOW DO WE RESOLVE THAT PROBLEM SO THAT EITHER YOU CAN CONTINUE YOUR BUSINESS, OR WE CAN DO WHAT WE NEED TO DO HERE, WHICH IS OUR STATUTORY OBLIGATION.

WHAT MAKES YOU THINK THAT YOU'RE GOING TO BE

ABLE TO DO THAT? WHAT AREN'T -- GETTING THE INFORMATION? MR. PORRAS: WELL --CHAIRMAN EATON: DO YOU UNDERSTAND --MR. PORRAS: YEAH, I UNDERSTAND --CHAIRMAN EATON: -- THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE --MR. PORRAS: YEAH. CHAIRMAN EATON: AND YOU UNDERSTOOD THEM IN 1995. SO WHAT HAPPENED AFTER THAT, THAT MADE YOU GO OVER THE LIMIT? 10 MR. PORRAS: WELL, FIRST, I HAD TROUBLE WITH THE 11 TIRE HAULER, WHICH WAS OXFORD TIRE. THEY GOT A -- I THINK 12 THEY GOT SUSPENDED OR SOMETHING FOR -- I DON'T KNOW HOW LONG 13 OF A TIME, BUT IT WAS LIKE SIX MONTHS. SO THEY -- THAT'S 14 WHEN THEY ACCUMULATED THAT TIME. 15 AND THEN THIS TIME I WAS HAVING --CHAIRMAN EATON: WERE YOU TAKING TIRES IN ALL 16 17 DURING THAT TIME? MR. PORRAS: YEAH. 18 CHAIRMAN EATON: BUT YOU UNDERSTAND YOU ONLY HAD A 19 20 5,000 LIMIT. SEE, THAT'S THE PROBLEM, IS THAT --21 MR. PORRAS: YEAH. 2.2 CHAIRMAN EATON: -- YOU'RE IN A SITUATION WHERE 23 YOU'VE GOT A LIMIT --MR. PORRAS: RIGHT. AND I CAN'T TAKE ANY MORE IN 24

--

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN EATON: -- AND YOU COULDN'T TAKE ANY MORE IN, YET YOU KEPT TAKING THEM IN.

MR. PORRAS: YEAH. WELL, I WAS --

CHAIRMAN EATON: SO, I MEAN, THAT BECOMES

TROUBLESOME I THINK --

MR. PORRAS: THE ONLY THING --

CHAIRMAN EATON: -- TO THE STAFF AND THE BOARD.

MR. PORRAS: YEAH. THE ONLY THING IS THAT ONCE I

GET -- GET THE TRAILERS GETTING OUT THEY -- THEY'RE OUT LIKE

IN THREE WEEKS.

MEMBER ROBERTI: WELL, OXFORD TIRES REPORTEDLY
BROUGHT THEM IN --

MR. PORRAS: TOOK THEM OUT.

MEMBER ROBERTI: I HAVE TO ASK THE QUESTION AGAIN. OXFORD TIRE TOOK THEM OUT FOR YOU, THEN.

MR. PORRAS: YES.

MEMBER ROBERTI: WELL, 18,000 TIRES IS AN AWFUL
LOT OF TIRES TO BE IN VIOLATION. AND I KNOW YOU'RE A SMALL
BUSINESSMAN, AND I'M SYMPATHETIC, BUT THIS IS THE SECOND
TIME. AND 18,000 OVER THE 5,000 LIMIT -- IF IT WAS LIKE
6,000 INSTEAD OF 5,000 I MIGHT UNDERSTAND THERE WAS SOME
PROBLEM, MAYBE YOU COULD MAKE A CASE WITH OXFORD, WHO WAS
TRYING TO TAKE YOUR TIRES FROM THE SITE. BUT 18,000, UNLESS

-- UNLESS YOU CAN TELL US -- IT JUST SOUNDS LIKE YOU WERE ACCUMULATING THEM FIGURING NOTHING WAS GOING TO HAPPEN AGAIN.

MR. PORRAS: NO, I -- YEAH, I KNEW SOMETHING WOULD HAPPEN.

NOT ALL THE TIRES ARE -- LIKE I SAID, I KEEP AT LEAST THREE TO 4,000 THAT ARE USED TIRES THAT I SELL.

MS. SMALL: MR. ROBERTI, FOR THE RECORD, HE WASN'T 18,000 OVER, HE WAS APPROXIMATELY EIGHT TO 9,000 OVER.

MEMBER ROBERTI: HE WAS OVER --

MS. SMALL: WHICH MEANT HE HAD A TOTAL --

MEMBER ROBERTI: OVER 5,000.

MS. SMALL: RIGHT.

14 MEMBER ROBERTI: YEAH, WELL, THAT -- THAT'S TOTAL.

15 RIGHT?

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

SO THE -- YEAH, THE MAX -- THEN THE MAXIMUM

IS LIKE -- HE HAD 14 -- HE HAD LIKE 14,000 -- WELL, LIKE 14

TO 13,000 ON SITE. THAT'S STILL A -- THAT'S STILL A HEFTY

NUMBER. IT'S ALMOST DOUBLE THE NUMBER OF TIRES IN VIOLATION

THAT YOU HAVE ALLOWED....

MR. PORRAS: ALSO, LIKE I SAID, I JUST GOT THROUGH PURCHASING THE PROPERTY. SO, YOU KNOW, I -- TO GET IT -- TO GET MY BUSINESS GOING BETTER I CANNOT ALLOW TO HAVE THAT MANY TIRES IN THERE ANYMORE.

MEMBER ROBERTI: DID YOU -- WHILE YOU'RE ACCUMULATING -- YOU -- WHAT DO YOU DO WITH THE TIRES WHEN YOU HAVE THEM ON SITE? MR. PORRAS: WELL, WE HAVE --MEMBER ROBERTI: -- ONE MORE TIME. MR. PORRAS: WE LACE THEM, WE STORE THEM THERE. THE ONES THAT ARE NO GOOD, THE ONES THAT ARE.... MEMBER ROBERTI: YEAH. WELL, JUST GO THROUGH IT WITH ME. BECAUSE I'M TRYING TO FIGURE -- I'M TRYING TO 10 THINK IN MY MIND, IS THERE ANY JUSTIFICATION -- MAYBE THE 11 ECONOMIES OF YOUR BUSINESS -- THAT OXFORD TIRE WASN'T 12 PICKING THE TIRES UP, BUT YOU HAVE A FAST FLOW OF THE TIRES AND THAT'S WHAT KEEPS YOUR BUSINESS GOING. 13 14 MR. PORRAS: YEAH --15 MEMBER ROBERTI: I'M TRYING TO THINK OF SOMETHING THAT --16 17 MR. PORRAS: YEAH, AND THE --18 MEMBER ROBERTI: -- JUSTIFIES REMOTELY YOUR --MR. PORRAS: YEAH, IF I DON'T HAVE --19 20 MEMBER ROBERTI: -- YOUR MAINTAINING ALL THESE 21 TIRES. 2.2 MR. PORRAS: IF I DON'T --23 MEMBER ROBERTI: AND I'M HAVING A TOUGH TIME. 24 MR. PORRAS: IF I DON'T HAVE TIRES COMING IN I

DON'T -- I DON'T HAVE ANYTHING TO SELL. THAT'S THE ONLY THING. AND IF --MEMBER ROBERTI: HOW MANY TIRES DO YOU SELL IN THE COURSE OF A MONTH? MR. PORRAS: OH. MAYBE ABOUT 500, MAYBE MORE USED 6 TIRES. MEMBER ROBERTI: SO YOU HAVE A TURNOVER OF TIRES. MR. PORRAS: YEAH. MEMBER ROBERTI: AND WHO DO YOU SELL THEM TO? 10 MR. PORRAS: TO THE PUBLIC, CONSUMERS. 11 MEMBER ROBERTI: FOR WHAT PURPOSE? 12 MR. PORRAS: THEY PUT THEM BACK ON THEIR VEHICLES. MEMBER ROBERTI: NOW, WHAT WAS THE PROBLEM WITH 13 14 OXFORD NOT PICKING YOUR TIRES UP? 15 MR. PORRAS: I THINK THEY GOT A SUSPENSION, THAT'S WHAT THEY TOLD ME, THAT THEY COULDN'T RECEIVE ANYMORE TIRES. 16 17 MEMBER ROBERTI: AND FOR HOW LONG DID THAT -- HOW 18 LONG DID THAT LAST? 19 MR. PORRAS: I THINK ABOUT SIX MONTHS THEY 20 COULDN'T RECEIVE THEM.

WERE STILL TAKING IN TIRES.

WHERE TO TAKE THEM.

21

22

23

24

MEMBER ROBERTI: SO SIX MONTHS -- SIX MONTHS YOU

MR. PORRAS: YEAH, AND I WAS LOOKING FOR A PLACE

THIS NEW SCRAP TIRE CO-OP, THEY'RE PRETTY GOOD. YOU KNOW, THEY'RE THE FIRST ONES I KNOW THAT THEY TAKE THEM OUT AND -- WHEN YOU ORDER THE TRAILER THEY COME IN WITHIN 48 HOURS AND THEY TAKE THEM OUT. AND I -- AND THEY LET ME HAVE A TRAILER THERE ALL THE TIME, SO ALL THE --MEMBER ROBERTI: WELL, WHAT WAS RUNNING THROUGH YOUR MIND WHEN YOU WERE -- WHEN YOU SAY, HEY, OXFORD CAN'T PICK UP TIRES UP ANYMORE --MR. PORRAS: WELL, I WAS LOOKING FOR A PLACE WHERE 10 I COULD TAKE THEM THAT WAS LEGALLY.... 11 MEMBER ROBERTI: OH. SO, WHY WERE YOU TAKING THEM 12 -- WHY WERE YOU CONTINUING TO TAKE IN THE TIRES? 13 MR. PORRAS: WELL, BECAUSE THAT'S ALL I HAVE TO 14 RUN MY BUSINESS --15 MEMBER ROBERTI: YEAH. BUT IF YOU WEREN'T SELLING 16 17 MR. PORRAS: -- ON --18 MEMBER ROBERTI: -- THEM WHAT DIFFERENCE DID IT MAKE IF YOU WERE ACCUMULATING THEM? 19 20 MR. PORRAS: OH, YOU MEAN -- I DID SELL SOME OF 21 THEM. YEAH. 2.2 MEMBER ROBERTI: BUT YOU --MR. PORRAS: WHAT THEY DO IS, THEY BRING ME A 23 24 WHOLE TRUCK, AND SOME ARE GOOD AND SOME ARE BAD.

MEMBER ROBERTI: UM-HUM. SO THAT -- SO, WOULD YOU SAY THAT THE TIRES THAT YOU HAVE ACCUMULATED ARE ESSENTIALLY BAD TIRES?

MR. PORRAS: SOME OF THEM ARE.

MEMBER ROBERTI: SOME OF THEM ARE.

MR. PORRAS: OH, THE ONES -- THE ONES I'VE

ACCUMULATED?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MEMBER ROBERTI: MAYBE STAFF CAN HELP ME. OF THE 13 TO 14,000 TIRES, WERE THESE SALEABLE TIRES OR BAD TIRES THAT HE ACCUMULATED?

MR. CAMBRIDGE: I'M NOT SURE ABOUT THAT. AFTER

CULLING THROUGH, HE'LL KEEP THE GOOD ONES FOR SALE, THEN

DISPOSE OF THE BAD ONES. I'M NOT SURE OF THE PERCENTAGE, AS

FAR AS WHAT IS GOOD AND WHAT'S BAD.

MS. SMALL: WE CAN ESTIMATE FROM THE RECORDS THAT HE'S PROVIDED SHOWING DISPOSAL AT THE SCRAP TIRE CO-OP. IF THOSE HAD BEEN GOOD IT WOULD NOT BE TO HIS ADVANTAGE TO SEND THEM TO THE CO-OP, BECAUSE HE HAS TO PAY THEM TO TAKE THEM. IF THEY WERE GOOD HE WOULD HAVE BEEN ABLE TO SELL THEM.

SO, THAT WOULD SHOW THAT HE DISPOSED OF APPROXIMATELY SOMEWHERE BETWEEN EIGHT AND 9,000 TIRES, AND HE HAD 13 -- 12 TO 13 ON SITE, SO IT WOULD LOOK --

MEMBER ROBERTI: WELL, GIVING --

MS. SMALL: -- LIKE TWO-THIRDS WERE NO GOOD.

MEMBER ROBERTI: YES. OKAY.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

WELL, GIVING MR. PORRAS THE BENEFIT OF EVERY

DOUBT HERE, WOULD HE -- WHAT HE MAYBE SAID IS THAT HE HAS TO

TAKE IN SO MANY TIRES, HE HAS NO CHOICE IN A HAUL THAT HE

TAKES IN OF BEING BOTH GOOD TIRES AND BAD TIRES. TO

MAINTAIN HIS BUSINESS HE ONLY SELLS THE GOOD TIRES.

SINCE OXFORD COULDN'T PICK UP THE BAD TIRES,
HE'S ACCUMULATING THE BAD TIRES. IT'S NOT HIS FAULT
OXFORD'S NOT PICKING UP THE BAD TIRES. BUT, HE'S NOT GOING
TO STAY IN BUSINESS IF HE CAN'T KEEP TAKING IN GOOD TIRES.

NOW, GIVING HIM THE BENEFIT OF EVERY DOUBT,

AND SAYING THAT HE IS NOT ACCUMULATING ANYTHING BUT BAD

TIRES, IT'S A CASE. BUT I DON'T KNOW IF THAT'S BORNE OUT BY

THE DATA.

CHAIRMAN EATON: WELL, PERHAPS -- DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING ELSE, MR. PORRAS, ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?

MR. PORRAS: NO, THAT'S THE ONLY THING. THE ONLY THING IS, LIKE I SAY, I MEAN, I ALREADY HAVE A GOOD TIRE HAULER THAT -- AND I PLAN ON KEEPING MY PROPERTY CLEAN, BECAUSE IT'S MY PROPERTY NOW. AND I -- I MEAN, THIS IS WHERE I MAKE MY LIVING, SO --

CHAIRMAN EATON: BUT YOU UNDERSTAND THAT THE
PERMIT REQUIRES YOU TO KEEP YOUR BUSINESS CLEAN WHETHER OR
NOT YOU OWN THE PROPERTY OR NOT.

MR. PORRAS: YES. YES, I DO.

CHAIRMAN EATON: SO THAT'S NOT REALLY RELEVANT THAT YOU OWN THE PROPERTY.

I MEAN, I UNDERSTAND THAT PEOPLE WHO OWN PROPERTY TEND TO KEEP IT IN BETTER CONDITION --

MR. PORRAS: YEAH.

CHAIRMAN EATON: -- THAN WHEN THEY DON'T OWN IT.

BUT, YOU HAVE AN OBLIGATION UNDER THE PERMIT -- BUT, SO JUST

LET ME JUST FINISH SO -- TO DO THAT. WHAT I'D LIKE TO FIND

OUT ONCE YOU'RE FINISHED IS WHAT OUR OPTIONS ARE.

WE HAVE -- WHAT? -- SUSPENSION? REVOCATION?

MS. SMALL: THE OPTIONS ARE SUSPENSION,

REVOCATION, OR YOU CAN CHOOSE TO LEAVE THE PERMIT IN PLACE.

YOU CAN ALSO DO A -- THIS IS -- A TEMPORARY SUSPENSION FOR

A LIMITED AMOUNT OF TIME.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

CHAIRMAN EATON: AND WHAT IS THAT TIME FRAME THAT

-- WHAT IS THE FLEXIBILITY THAT WE HAVE WITHIN THAT TIME

FRAME?

MS. SMALL: VIRTUALLY COMPLETE.

CHAIRMAN EATON: I MEAN IS IT THAT WE HAVE A 40-DAY -- OR, I MEAN A 60-DAY -- UP TO 60-DAYS WE CAN SUSPEND IT? NINETY, SIX MONTHS? I MEAN, WHAT ARE OUR PARAMETERS?

MS. SMALL: THE STATUTE DOESN'T NECESSARILY -- IN
THIS PARTICULAR TYPE OF CASE, UNDER THE CASE WHERE YOU DO IT

FOR EMINENT

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.2

23

24

DANGER, THERE IS A TIME LIMIT. BUT WHEN YOU'RE DOING ACTUAL HEARING THERE IS NO TIME LIMIT STATED IN THE STATUTE.

CHAIRMAN EATON: IS THERE ANY -- UNDER THE STATUTE
CAN WE CONDITION ANY REINSTATEMENT?

FOR INSTANCE, IF WE WERE TO TAKE A COURSE BY WHICH WE WERE TO SUSPEND THE PERMIT FOR A PERIOD OF TIME, AND THAT THERE WERE CERTAIN CONDITIONS PLACED UPON THAT -- WHETHER OR NOT HE WAS ABLE TO UNDERGO SOME ADDITIONAL TRAINING OR UNDERSTANDING OF THE BOARD, OR THOSE TYPES OF PROCESSES BY WHICH HE MIGHT BE ABLE TO UNDERSTAND WHAT'S EXPECTED OF HIM, AND WHAT WE EXPECT OF HIM AS WELL.

I DON'T THINK WE HAVE A TIRE-STORING CLASS

THAT I REMEMBER. I HAVEN'T SEEN THE GRANT PROPOSAL COME

FORWARD YET. BUT, I KNOW WE HAVE A LANDFILL CERTIFICATION

PROCESS --

MEMBER JONES: OPERATOR CERTIFICATION. WE'LL ADD THIS TO IT.

(THE PARTIES SIMULTANEOUSLY SPEAK.)

20 CHAIRMAN EATON: I THINK -- SENATOR ROBERTI, GO
21 AHEAD.

MEMBER ROBERTI: I WANT TO GO BACK -- I'VE BEEN LISTENING TO WHAT THE CHAIRMAN IS SAYING, I DO WANT TO GO BACK TO SOMETHING EARLIER.

WHY DIDN'T YOU FIND ANOTHER HAULER?

MR. PORRAS: WHY I DIDN'T FIND ANOTHER HAULER?

THERE -- I TRIED TO LOOK FOR ANOTHER -- THE ONLY HAULER I

KNEW OF WAS OXFORD TIRE.

MEMBER ROBERTI: WELL, WHAT ABOUT -- ABOUT THE SCRAP TIRE CO-OP --

MR. PORRAS: YEAH, THAT'S WHEN I START -- THESE -- I THINK -- I KNEW OF THEM IN -- THAT'S THE -- THAT'S WHEN I STARTED SWITCHING TO THEM, THAT WAS IN SEPTEMBER, '98.

MEMBER ROBERTI: SEPTEMBER OF '98. AND YOU WERE IN VIOLATION FOR HOW LONG PRIOR TO THAT TIME?

MR. PORRAS: TO NOVEMBER, NOVEMBER 13TH.

MEMBER ROBERTI: YOU WERE -- WELL, MAYBE STAFF CAN
HELP ME. HE -- MR. PORRAS SAYS THAT HE FOUND -- WHAT'S THE
NAME OF THE COMPANY? -- SCRAP --

MR. PORRAS: TIRE CO-OP.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MEMBER ROBERTI: -- TIRE CO-OP IN SEPTEMBER OF '98. ASSUMING THAT HE WAS THERE FOR -- DOING EVERYTHING HE COULD AT THAT POINT TO BE A GOOD CITIZEN, HOW LONG WOULD THAT -- HE HAVE STILL BEEN IN VIOLATION PRIOR TO SEPTEMBER OF '98?

MS. SMALL: I THINK WHAT -- IF I UNDERSTAND YOUR QUESTION CORRECTLY, WE DON'T HAVE ANY WAY OF KNOWING HOW LONG HE HAD BEEN IN VIOLATION, BECAUSE OUR INSPECTOR DIDN'T

GO OUT UNTIL NOVEMBER WHEN HE HAD ALREADY CONTACTED SCRAP
TIRE. AND ACCORDING TO THE DOCUMENTATION SCRAP TIRE STARTED
HAULING IN SEPTEMBER, BUT ALL WE CAN DO IS ESTIMATE THAT IT
HAD PROBABLY BEEN A COUPLE MONTHS. BUT WE DON'T KNOW HOW
OFTEN HE GETS IN TIRES.

MEMBER PENNINGTON: MR. CHAIRMAN?

CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. PENNINGTON.

MEMBER PENNINGTON: CAN I --

CHAIRMAN EATON: SURE.

MEMBER PENNINGTON: A COUPLE OF THINGS. ONE IS
THAT WE'VE HAD TWO ACTIONS AGAINST HIM AS I UNDERSTAND IT.

CHAIRMAN EATON: CORRECT.

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

20

21

22

23

24

MEMBER PENNINGTON: THE FIRST ACTION RESULTED IN A FINE OF \$5,000 THAT WAS THEN REDUCED BECAUSE HE GOT THE TIRES OFF. IS THAT CORRECT? TO 500?

MS. SMALL: THAT IS CORRECT. AND HE OBTAINED THE 17 PERMIT.

MR. PORRAS: YEAH, I BELIEVE IT WAS --

19 MEMBER PENNINGTON: AND THEN ON THE SECOND ONE

WHAT HAPPENED? WHAT WAS THE RESOLUTION OF THE SECOND --

MS. SMALL: ON THE SECOND ONE HE REMOVED THE TIRES BEFORE WE GOT TO HEARING, AND HE PAID A \$300 FINE. AND I WILL SAY THAT MR. PORRAS HAS PAID ALL OF HIS FINES IN FULL.

MEMBER PENNINGTON: COULD WE FINE HIM THIS TIME?

MS. SMALL: THE STATUTE DOESN'T PROVIDE FOR THAT KIND OF MECHANISM UNDER THIS PARTICULAR SITUATION. HOWEVER, WE ARE PERFECTLY CAPABLE OF FILING A COMPLAINT AGAIN BASED ON HIS PREVIOUS ACTIVITY AND SEEKING A FINE, IF THAT'S WHAT THE BOARD WOULD LIKE TO DIRECT.

MEMBER PENNINGTON: WELL, I'M JUST WONDERING, IF

THIS -- THIS BOARD COULD NOT -- YOU'RE TELLING ME THAT THIS

BOARD, THEN, COULD NOT SET A FINE FOR HIS VIOLATION?

MS. SMALL: NOT THE WAY THE STATUTE'S SET UP FOR THIS PARTICULAR HEARING. THIS HAS ONLY BEEN NOTICED AND IS ONLY STATUTORILY AUTHORIZED FOR REVOCATION/SUSPENSION, AND SO IN ORDER TO DO A PENALTY YOU HAVE TO DO A DIFFERENT PROCEEDING.

MEMBER PENNINGTON: OKAY.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN?

CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. JONES.

MEMBER JONES: MR. CAMBRIDGE, HOW OFTEN DO YOU GET DOWN TO VISIT MR. PORRAS? I MEAN, IS IT EVERY TIME YOU VISIT THERE'S A VIOLATION?

MR. CAMBRIDGE: EVERY TIME I'VE BEEN THERE, YEAH,
THERE HAS BEEN A VIOLATION. BUT I WILL SAY MR. PORRAS HAS
BEEN TRYING TO COMPLY EVERY TIME AFTER MY VISIT.

MEMBER JONES: OKAY. BECAUSE I -- IT IS -- I

WOULD AGREE WITH YOU THAT IF YOU WERE USING OXFORD, AND

OXFORD SHUT DOWN IT WOULD BE A LITTLE MIND-BOGGLING TO FIND

-- WE'RE FAMILIAR WITH ALL THE TIRE HAULERS, THE TRUTH OF

THE MATTER IS EVERYBODY OUT IN THE REAL WORLD THINKS OF

OXFORD SOMETIMES LIKE -- YOU KNOW, VERY RARELY TTR, ALTHOUGH

THEY'RE STARTING TO GET MORE OF A NAME, BUT THEY'VE ONLY

BEEN AROUND A COUPLE -- I MEAN, HOW LONG HAVE THEY BEEN

AROUND, THREE YEARS, FOUR YEARS? TTR? OXFORD WAS ALWAYS

THE NAME THAT ROLLED OFF EVERYBODY'S TONGUE.

FOR YOU TO -- DO YOU HAVE MANIFESTS IN YOUR POSSESSION WHEN OXFORD WAS HAULING THE TIRES AWAY?

MR. PORRAS: I HAVE SOME, YEAH.

MEMBER JONES: WHAT WAS THE -- WHEN OXFORD WAS
HAULING TIRES AWAY HOW OFTEN WERE THEY IN THERE? I MEAN,
HOW OFTEN WERE YOU HAVING TIRES HAULED AWAY?

MR. PORRAS: MAYBE ONCE A MONTH.

16 MEMBER JONES: ALL RIGHT. AND YOU ARE GETTING --

17 IS THAT ABOUT A THOUSAND TO 1200 TIRES --

MR. PORRAS: YEAH.

MEMBER JONES: -- THAT WENT OUT?

20 AND HOW MANY TIRES WERE YOU GETTING IN EVERY

21 MONTH FROM WHOEVER YOUR SOURCE IS?

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

2.2

23

24

MR. PORRAS: WELL --

MEMBER JONES: JUST A GUESS.

MR. PORRAS: ABOUT 2,000.

MEMBER JONES: ALL RIGHT. SO HALF YOU'RE KEEPING

AND HALF YOU'RE SENDING AWAY.

MR. PORRAS: YEAH, THAT'S ABOUT RIGHT.

MEMBER JONES: OKAY. SO YOU QUIT -- OXFORD QUITS

COMING TO YOU WHEN?

MR. PORRAS: BASICALLY WHEN I START WITH SCRAP

TIRE CO-OP THEY DIDN'T WANT TO -
MEMBER JONES: WELL, THERE WAS A TIME THAT YOU

DIDN'T HAVE -
MR. PORRAS: WELL, THEY HAD HAD A TRAILER -- YEAH,

THEY HAD HAD A TRAILER THERE FOR FOUR MONTHS AND I KEPT

CALLING AND THEY WOULDN'T REMOVE IT.

MEMBER JONES: YOU HAD A FULL TRAILER THERE -
MR. PORRAS: YEAH.

MEMBER JONES: -- THAT THEY WOULD NOT REMOVE?

MEMBER JONES: FOR FOUR MONTHS?

MR. PORRAS: FOUR MONTHS.

MEMBER JONES: ALL RIGHT. FOUR MONTHS, 2,000, WOULD BE 8,000 TIRES, WOULD BE EIGHT OR NINE, THAT'D FALL INTO -- OKAY.

MR. PORRAS: YEAH, BECAUSE THEY HAD --

HOW DO WE -- YOU KNOW, OUR OPTIONS ARE KIND

OF LIMITED. ONE OF THEM IS INCLUDING SHUTTING YOU DOWN. SO

THEN I DON'T KNOW HOW YOU PAY FOR THIS PROPERTY YOU JUST

BOUGHT.

MR. PORRAS: I DON'T KNOW.

MEMBER JONES: AND I DON'T KNOW HOW PEOPLE -- I'M

ASSUMING THAT THESE USED TIRES IN -- YOU'RE DOWN IN

STANISLAUS -- OUTSIDE OF -- YOU'RE IN CROWS LANDING?

MR. PORRAS: RIGHT.

MEMBER JONES: OUTSIDE OF MODESTO, OR IN THAT

AREA.

MR. PORRAS: YEAH.

10 MEMBER JONES: YOU FIGURE YOU SELL 500 TIRES A

MONTH, 500 -- AROUND 500 TIRES?

MR. PORRAS: YEAH.

13 MEMBER JONES: DO YOU MOUNT THEM AND DO ALL THAT

14 STUFF?

11

12

21

2.2

MR. PORRAS: YEAH.

16 MEMBER JONES: OKAY. AND SO I'M ASSUMING THERE'S

17 -- I HAVE A PRETTY GOOD IDEA OF -- OKAY.

18 SO HOW DO WE -- HOW DO YOU GET THE MESSAGE?

19 I MEAN, HOW DO YOU UNDERSTAND -- I MEAN, HOW DO WE GET YOU,

20 WITHOUT SHUTTING YOUR BUSINESS DOWN, TO UNDERSTAND THAT

THERE IS A HEALTH AND SAFETY PROBLEM HERE, THAT THERE'S A

FIRE PROBLEM HERE THAT IF YOU DON'T COMPLY WITH IT YOU'RE

23 GOING TO LOSE YOUR -- YOU'RE GOING TO LOSE THE RIGHT TO

24 BRING IN TIRES.

MR. PORRAS: YEAH, I ALREADY GOT THE MESSAGE.

MEMBER JONES: REALLY?

MR. PORRAS: YEAH.

MEMBER JONES: OKAY. '95, WHEN THE REGS CAME OUT,

IT TOOK A WHILE TO GET THAT DONE.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MR. PORRAS: YEAH, THE -- IN '95 I THINK WHEN I FIRST -- I THINK THAT'S WHEN EVERYTHING STARTED COMING IN, AND IT WAS NEW.

MEMBER JONES: IT WAS NEW.

MR. PORRAS: YEAH, YOU KNOW. AND IT WAS THE FIRST

TIME I'VE HEARD OF IT. BEFORE I WAS JUST DEALING WITH THE

FIRE DEPARTMENT --

MEMBER JONES: RIGHT.

MR. PORRAS: -- TELLING ME KEEP LANES AND, YOU KNOW, THEY WERE FINE, THEY'D COME OVER THERE TWICE A YEAR, SOMETHING LIKE THAT. AND THEN THERE WAS A NEW LAW --

MEMBER ROBERTI: MR. CHAIRMAN, IN MY MIND, THOUGH, IT COMES DOWN TO THIS, IS WHAT WOULD AN EQUITABLE VOTE WOULD BE. IF YOU WERE ACCUMULATING THE TIRES, MR. PORRAS, IN ORDER JUST TO BUILD UP YOUR INVENTORY, WHETHER YOUR HAULER HAD A PROBLEM PICKING IT UP OR NOT, THEN I THINK I HAVE TO VOTE TO PUT YOU OUT OF BUSINESS, AS SYMPATHETIC AS I AM TO YOUR SITUATION.

IF, HOWEVER, YOU'RE ACCUMULATING THE TIRES

BECAUSE YOUR HAULER ISN'T PICKING THEM UP AND YOU'RE STUCK
IN A SORT OF CATCH-22, THAT YOU GO OUT OF BUSINESS BECAUSE
YOUR HAULER'S NOT PICKING UP THE TIRES AND YOU CAN'T -- AND
YOU CAN'T STAY IN BUSINESS IF ALL YOU'VE GOT ARE DIRTY
TIRES, OR OLD TIRES, WHATEVER WE CALL THEM, THEN THAT'S NOT
QUITE FAIR TO PUT YOU OUT OF BUSINESS THROUGH NO FAULT OF
YOUR OWN.

RIGHT NOW I THINK MY DATA IS INCOMPLETE AS TO WHAT THE FACTS ARE. AND IF WHAT YOU HAD IN INVENTORY WERE ESSENTIALLY GOOD TIRES, IN EXCESS OF THE AMOUNT AFTER A SECOND TIME THAT YOU HAVE BEEN FINED, THEN I DON'T HAVE ANY PROBLEM VOTING TO REVOKE YOUR PERMIT. BUT IF WHAT YOU ESSENTIALLY HAD ON YOUR PROPERTY WERE OLD TIRES THAT YOU COULDN'T GET RID OF BECAUSE THE ONLY HAULER THAT COMES TO YOUR MIND, AND TO MOST PEOPLE'S MINDS, IS --

MEMBER JONES: OXFORD.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MEMBER ROBERTI: I'VE TOTALLY LOST IT --

MEMBER JONES: OXFORD.

MEMBER ROBERTI: OXFORD, YES. THEN I DON'T THINK

I COULD IN CONSCIENCE VOTE TO PUT YOU OUT OF BUSINESS.

MY DATA'S INCOMPLETE, MR. CHAIRMAN.

CHAIRMAN EATON: I GOT YOU. AND I THINK IF THERE

-- I'VE GOT A PROPOSAL AFTER MR. PENNINGTON, WHO IS WILLING

TO SPEAK, WHICH MAY HELP SOLVE BOTH -- I SHARE THE SAME

SIMILAR CONCERNS.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

MR. PENNINGTON.

MEMBER PENNINGTON: I JUST WAS THINKING OF TWO
POSSIBLE OPTIONS. ONE IS, COULD WE PUT HIM ON PROBATION.

AND IF WE CAN'T DO THAT CAN WE SUSPEND HIS LICENSE AND THEN
SUSPEND OUR ACTION?

CHAIRMAN EATON: I THINK WE'RE ALL THINKING ALONG
THE SAME LINES. AND ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WOULD BE IS THAT
PERHAPS WE CAN TAKE THE ACTION TO SUSPEND OR REVOKE THE
PERMIT I GUESS IS THE --

MEMBER PENNINGTON: REVOKE AND THEN SUSPEND OUR ACTION.

CHAIRMAN EATON: AND SUSPEND OUR ACTION. BUT IT
WOULD BE CONDITIONED -- AND I THINK THIS GOES ALONG THE
LINES OF BOTH MR. JONES AND SENATOR ROBERTI -- THAT WE WOULD
CONDUCT AN INSPECTION ON A DATE CERTAIN, LET'S JUST SAY
HYPOTHETICALLY THIS COMING MONDAY, BY WHICH THE MANIFESTS
AND ALL OF THE OTHER THINGS WOULD BE GONE THROUGH --

MEMBER JONES: RIGHT.

CHAIRMAN EATON: AND THEN IF -- IN ADDITION TO THE MANIFESTS, IF THERE WERE ANY VIOLATIONS YOU WOULD HAVE TWO WEEKS BY WHICH TO -- OR WHATEVER TIME IS REASONABLE, TO RESOLVE THOSE VIOLATIONS BASED UPON THE INSPECTION. IF THAT WERE NOT THE CASE THEN YOU WOULD -- YOUR LICENSE WOULD

AUTOMATICALLY BE REVOKED.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

AND IF IT IS NOT REVOKED THEN, IN ADDITION, I
BELIEVE ONE OF THE COURSE OF ACTION WE WOULD LIKE TO SEE, TO
PREVENT THE FUTURE BEHAVIOR, IS THAT WE WOULD GET EVERY
MANIFEST SENT TO THE APPROPRIATE DEPARTMENT HERE OF EVERY
LOAD OF TIRES COMING IN AND EVERY LOAD OF TIRES GOING OUT
FOR A DATE CERTAIN, WHETHER THAT BE THREE MONTHS, SIX MONTHS
OR NINE MONTHS.

NOW, I CAN BREAK THAT DOWN FOR COUNSEL IF YOU WANT TO TAKE A FEW MINUTES TO KIND OF DISCUSS IT AND WE CAN JUST TAKE A FEW-MINUTE BREAK TO SEE IF THERE'S ANY ADDITIONAL KINDS OF --

MEMBER ROBERTI: THE ONLY OTHER ADDITION THING, IF
WE DO SUSPEND -- IF WE DID VOTE TO SUSPEND HIS LICENSE WE
WOULD THEN HAVE TO -- MAYBE A BETTER WORD WOULD BE
PROBATION.

I'M ON, I'M ON THIS TIME. I'M ON THIS TIME.

A BETTER WORD WOULD BE PROBATION BECAUSE WE

DON'T WANT HIM OPERATING ILLEGALLY, IS WHAT I --

MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN?

CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. JONES.

MEMBER JONES: JUST I AGREE WITH WHAT THE

CHAIRMAN'S SAYING AND HOW WE'RE GOING TO WORK THIS OUT. BUT

WOULD A BETTER -- WOULD A MORE MANAGEABLE WAY TO DO THIS BE

A COMPLIANCE PLAN THAT WOULD BE PUT TOGETHER AS A CONDITION OF HIS PERMIT? LIKE A NOTICE AND --

CHAIRMAN EATON: SURE.

MEMBER JONES: -- I MEAN LIKE JUST SOMETHING

ADMINISTRATIVELY THAT LAYS OUT THE CONDITIONS IN A

COMPLIANCE PLAN THAT WOULD BE SIMILAR TO A NOTICE AND ORDER.

DO YOU HAVE NOTICE AND ORDERS IN TIRE REGS?

MS. SMALL: WE HAVE CLEANUP AND ABATEMENT ORDERS.

CHAIRMAN EATON: BUT THE HEARING IS SUCH THAT IT

10 MAY -- WE'RE IN THE SAME SITUATION AS FINES?

11

12

13

16

19

20

21

2.2

MS. SMALL: ACTUALLY YOU CAN DIRECT STAFF TO DO A CLEANUP AND ABATEMENT ORDER THAT WOULD SET FORTH A COMPLIANCE PLAN AND YOU COULD --

MEMBER PENNINGTON: BUT HE'S ALREADY CLEANED IT
UP.

MEMBER JONES: HE'S ALREADY CLEANED IT UP.

MS. SMALL: RIGHT. A COMPLIANCE PLAN AS FAR AS

18 COMPLYING WITH THE TERMS OF THE PERMIT.

MEMBER JONES: OKAY.

MS. SMALL: AND SUSPEND YOUR REVOCATION ACTION UNTIL YOU FEEL THAT YOU EITHER WANT TO ENACT IT OR --

CHAIRMAN EATON: SENATOR ROBERTI?

23 MEMBER ROBERTI: I ACTUALLY WOULD LIKE -- I'D LIKE

24 TO GO BACK TO MR. JONES' PRIOR SUGGESTION, THAT WE SEEN THE

MANIFESTS FIRST --

2.2

MEMBER JONES: CORRECT.

MEMBER ROBERTI: -- BEFORE WE EVEN DISCUSS

PROBATION. BECAUSE IF THE CASE IS THAT HE WAS JUST

ACCUMULATING WASTE TIRES BECAUSE HE HAD NO WAY TO GET RID OF

THEM, YOU KNOW, WELL, TECHNICALLY HE SHOULDN'T BE DOING

THAT, BUT THAT'S A LOT MORE BENIGN AN ACTION THAN BUILDING

UP AN INVENTORY. AND WE DON'T KNOW.

CHAIRMAN EATON: I WILL TEL YOU THAT -- LET ME
MAKE ONE OTHER SUGGESTION. WE DO HAVE A HEARING NEXT WEEK
ON MAY 6TH WHICH WE ARE GOING TO HEAR SEVERAL ITEMS AS IT
RELATES TO BASE YEAR ADJUSTMENT, NOW WE HAVE I BELIEVE ONE
CONTINUED ACTION AS IT RELATES TO KIEFER LANDFILL.

THAT MAYBE WHAT WE DO IS, IF IT MEETS WITH COUNSEL APPROVAL, IS WE CONTINUE THIS HEARING UNTIL SUCH TIME -- BUT IN THE MEANTIME STAFF WOULD BE INSTRUCTED TO GO DOWN AND OBTAIN -- DO ANOTHER INSPECTION OF THE PROPERTY AND KNOW -- I MEAN, FIRST TO FIND OUT IF, INDEED, CLEANUP HAS TAKEN PLACE. I MEAN, WE DO HAVE -- GO THROUGH THE MANIFESTS AS WE MIGHT DIRECT THEM TO BE. AND THEN AT THAT POINT MAKE AN EVALUATION, HOPEFULLY HAVE MORE DATA, AND THEN PROCEED THAT WAY. AND THAT WOULD BE -- I'M JUST -- AS A DISCUSSION POINT WITHOUT MAKING IT A MOTION YET.

BUT I FIRST WANT TO FIND OUT, ONE, I GUESS,

CAN WE CONTINUE THE HEARING AND, TWO, CAN WE INSTRUCT THE STAFF AND THEN SET THE CRITERIA?

AND THEN I WANT TO EXPLAIN TO YOU WHAT WE WOULD BE DOING HERE.

MR. PORRAS: OKAY.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN EATON: SO, I MEAN --

MEMBER JONES: THAT'S A GOOD SOLUTION I THINK.

I'D LIKE TO SEE THE MANIFESTS, COPIES OF THOSE -- OF THE

OXFORD MANIFESTS ARE THE ONES I'M TALKING ABOUT.

CHAIRMAN EATON: BUT DO YOU WANT TO SAY -- WE SHOULD JUST HAVE ALL THE MANIFESTS --

(THE PARTIES SIMULTANEOUSLY SPEAK.)

CHAIRMAN EATON: -- JUST PICK AND CHOOSE -- THE FULL PICTURE, I THINK WE HAVE....

IF YOU WANT TO SET A DATE CERTAIN -- WHEN DID YOU FIRST DO THE OXFORD -- THAT'S ONLY BEEN, WHAT, A YEAR OR SO?

MR. PORRAS: YEAH.

MS. SMALL: IT MIGHT BE APPROPRIATE TO HAVE HIM -CHAIRMAN EATON: SO MUCH FOR THE SHORTAGE OF TIRES
ON THE OPEN MARKET.

MS. SMALL: TO HAVE HIM SUPPLY MANIFESTS FOR 1998, WHICH WOULD COVER PROBABLY THREE OR FOUR MONTHS OF OXFORD HAULING, THREE OR FOUR MONTHS OF NO HAULING, AND THEN THE

SCRAP CO-OP.

CHAIRMAN EATON: SO FROM 1998 TO THE PRESENT? AND THIS IS JUST FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES HERE.

MS. SMALL: RIGHT. IF HE COULD SUPPLY THOSE. I'M SUGGESTING THAT THAT MIGHT BE DEMONSTRATIVE OF WHAT ACTUALLY HAPPENED.

CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY. SECOND, WITH REGARD TO STAFF'S ABILITY TO GO DOWN AND DO THEIR INSPECTION, YOUR BAGS PACKED TOMORROW?

MR. CAMBRIDGE: THAT CAN BE ARRANGED.

11 CHAIRMAN EATON: WELL, IS THAT SOMETHING, TO

CONDUCT AN INSPECTION TOMORROW?

MR. CAMBRIDGE: YEAH.

14 MEMBER PENNINGTON: YOU'VE GOT THE INVOICES, YOU

CAN GET TO THEM IN THE --

16 MEMBER JONES: MANIFESTS.

17 MEMBER PENNINGTON: YOU'VE GOT THE MANIFESTS, YOU

18 CAN GET TO THEM RIGHT AWAY, I MEAN --

19 MR. PORRAS: YEAH, I SHOULD BE ABLE TO GET TO

20 THEM.

10

12

13

15

2.2

23

MEMBER PENNINGTON: OKAY.

MR. PORRAS: THE ONES FROM OXFORD?

CHAIRMAN EATON: NO, WE WANT EACH --

MR. PORRAS: OH, THE ONES I'VE GOT FROM SCRAP

TIRE?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

MEMBER PENNINGTON: BOTH.

CHAIRMAN EATON: WE WANT EVERYTHING FROM -- ALL THE MANIFESTS, IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING, OF WHAT CAME IN AND WHAT WENT OUT FROM THE YEARS 1998 TO THE PRESENT.

IF I'M NOT -- IS THAT --

MS. SMALL: I WOULD SUGGEST, FROM SURVEYING MR.

PORRAS' SITE MYSELF, THAT IT WOULD PROBABLY BE MORE FRUITFUL

TO WAIT UNTIL THE BEGINNING OF NEXT WEEK, WHEN HE'S HAD TIME

TO SEE WHAT HE HAS AND WHAT HE DOESN'T HAVE TO GET COPIES OF

FROM THE SCRAP TIRE CO-OP.

CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY. SO THE INSPECTION -- AND
THIS IS JUST FOR PURPOSES OF DISCUSSION -- WOULD TAKE PLACE
ON MONDAY. IF I'M NOT MISTAKEN THAT'S MAY 3RD. OKAY?

ANY OTHER ITEMS THAT THE BOARD MEMBERS MIGHT LIKE TO SEE, OTHER THAN MANIFESTS?

MEMBER JONES: JUST ONE QUICK THING. I DON'T KNOW
HOW -- I KNOW THAT THE DIRECTION WAS TO INCLUDE MANIFESTS
FOR THOSE SIX COMING IN, AS WELL AS GOING OUT. I'M NOT SURE
THERE'S -- I THINK WE HAVE TO ASK HIM IF THERE'S MANIFESTS
COMING IN, HE MAY NOT HAVE --

MR. PORRAS: YEAH, I DON'T HAVE HARDLY ANY COMING

23 IN.

MEMBER JONES: BUT YOU HAVE A RECORD --

MR. PORRAS: I HAVE ALL -- YEAH, I HAVE ALL THE ONES GOING OUT, YEAH.

MEMBER JONES: BECAUSE THAT WOULD BE THE WAY THAT THE SYSTEM WOULD WORK, IS AS IT LEAVES, NOT SO MUCH AS IT COMES IN.

SO I JUST -- IT WOULD BE NICE, THOUGH, IF WE HAD SOME KIND OF AN IDEA OF WHAT YOU RECEIVED SO THAT WE CAN -- HOPEFULLY WE'RE GOING TO TRY TO PUT A TIME LINE TOGETHER HERE TO SEE --

MEMBER ROBERTI: WELL, WOULDN'T HE HAVE -WOULDN'T THERE BE SOME MANIFEST TELLING US THE NUMBERS WE
WANT TO SEE? I DON'T KNOW THE TECHNICALITY. WHAT I WANT
ARE BILLS, RECEIPTS, BILLS OF LADING, WHATEVER THE -WHATEVER, MANIFESTS, WHATEVER THE WORD IS, TO TELL US WHAT
HE WAS TAKING IN AND THEN HOPEFULLY WHAT THE TYPE OF TIRE
WAS. I THINK MR. PORRAS INDICATED THAT ROUGHLY ON ANY
DELIVERY IT'S LIKE 50 -- I DON'T REMEMBER WHAT HE SAID, 50
PERCENT GOOD TIRES AND 50 PERCENT WASTE. MAYBE THERE'S
SOMETHING -- THERE'S SOME INDICATORS.

CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. PORRAS, LET ME JUST ASK THIS
QUESTION. AND I DON'T WANT THE NAME OF THE PERSON WHO
SUPPLIES YOU WITH YOUR TIRES.

MR. PORRAS: YEAH.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

CHAIRMAN EATON: BUT THERE IS -- YOU MENTIONED AT

THE BEGINNING OF THIS HEARING THAT THERE WAS ONE SOURCE THAT YOU RECEIVE THESE TIRES FROM.

MR. PORRAS: YEAH.

CHAIRMAN EATON: ONLY ONE SOURCE.

MR. PORRAS: YEAH.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN EATON: IS THAT CORRECT?

MR. PORRAS: CORRECT.

CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY. WHAT I WOULD LIKE FOR YOU

TO DO, AS WE GET THROUGH HERE, IS TO DIVULGE THAT NAME TO

THE APPROPRIATE STAFF PERSON, AND THEN THAT STAFF PERSON CAN

GO BACK AND TRACK --

MEMBER JONES: VERY GOOD.

CHAIRMAN EATON: -- THOSE MANIFESTS FROM THAT

HAULER, AND THEN THAT I THINK WOULD GIVE YOU -- IF THE

SYSTEM IS ONLY AN OUT SYSTEM OF TRACKING, THEN SOMEONE HAS

HAD -- AND IT'S ONLY ONE SOURCE, SO WE'RE NOT ASKING THAT WE

HAVE A HUNDRED DIFFERENT KINDS OF SOURCES COMING, THAT WE

HAVE ONE SOURCE COMING IN, THAT SHOULD GIVE US THE INCOMING,

SO TO SPEAK, AND THEN HOPEFULLY WHATEVER HE HAS ON HIS

REGULAR RECORDS OF WHAT'S COMING OUT.

MR. PORRAS: YEAH, THE MOST THING I WORRY ABOUT IS WHO'S TAKING THEM OUT, BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, THAT'S WHAT I WORRY ABOUT, WHO'S -- THAT THEY'RE GOING TO A LEGAL PLACE, BECAUSE I DON'T WANT TO GET FINED OR ANYTHING. THAT'S WHAT

--

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN EATON: BUT YOU HAVE TO WORRY -- YOU SEE,
THAT'S THE POINT, YOU HAVE TO WORRY ABOUT WHAT'S COMING IN
BECAUSE YOUR PERMIT ONLY ALLOWS YOU SO MANY AT ONE PERIOD OF
TIME.

MR. PORRAS: OKAY.

CHAIRMAN EATON: I MEAN, THAT'S THE BASIC --

MR. PORRAS: I UNDERSTAND.

CHAIRMAN EATON: -- PREMISE HERE FOR YOUR PERMIT,
UNLESS YOU GO TO A DIFFERENT TYPE OF PERMIT WHICH ALLOWS YOU
MORE.

MR. PORRAS: I UNDERSTAND.

CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY. SO --

MR. PORRAS: I'VE GOT TO FIND OUT HOW MANY --

CHAIRMAN EATON: -- YOU HAVE TO CARE ABOUT WHAT'S

COMING IN.

MR. PORRAS: OKAY.

CHAIRMAN EATON: SO, BUT I WANT TO FIRST FIND OUT IF IT'S NOT A, YOU KNOW, LOGISTICAL NIGHTMARE FOR THE STAFF AND -- AND DO WE RUN INTO ANY OTHER COMPLICATIONS.

MR. CAMBRIDGE: NO, THERE'S NO PROBLEM. I'LL DO
AN INSPECTION OF THE FACILITY, DOCUMENT ALL THE MANIFESTS
THAT I CAN, SHOWING THE REMOVAL OF TIRES AS WELL AS TIRE
COMING IN, AND TALK TO THE SOURCE TO DOCUMENT THAT AS WELL.

MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, ONE QUESTION?
CHAIRMAN EATON: MR. JONES.

MEMBER JONES: IF IN THE COURSE OF THIS -- I'M

JUST TRYING TO GIVE OURSELVES AN OUT -- IF WE CAN'T GET ALL

THIS DONE IN TIME FOR MAY 6TH COULD IT BE CONTINUED, MAY 6TH

TO MAY 25TH? IF THEY DON'T GET ALL THIS DONE, BECAUSE WE'RE

PUTTING A LOT OF THE BURDEN ON STAFF, AND IF THEY CAN'T GET

THE TRACKING ALL PUT TOGETHER, DO WE -- ARE WE TIME-CERTAIN

ON THE 6TH, OR DO WE WANT TO GIVE OURSELVES THE OPPORTUNITY

THAT IF THEY DON'T GET IT DONE TO BRING IT UP IN THAT SECOND

-- I MEAN, THAT OTHER BOARD MEETING IN MAY? I'M JUST

ASKING, I DON'T CARE. I JUST --

CHAIRMAN EATON: I THINK YOU DO CARE.

MEMBER JONES: WELL, I JUST --

CHAIRMAN EATON: BECAUSE IT'S YOUR BIRTHDAY WE'LL GIVE YOU THE COURTESY -- NO.

I THINK THAT STAFF SHOULD -- WHAT IS

REASONABLE FOR YOU TO COMPLETE YOUR TASK? AND IF YOU CAN

GIVE THAT -- IF IT'S EASIER, WE OUGHT TO CONTINUE IT TO A

DATE CERTAIN WHERE WE CAN HAVE THE INFORMATION RATHER THAN

JUST KEEP CONTINUING IT.

BUT, YOU KNOW, WE WOULD CONTINUE TO HAVE

TIRES TAKEN IN DURING THIS TIME, DON'T FORGET -
MEMBER JONES: RIGHT. RIGHT.

CHAIRMAN EATON: -- AND WE MAY NOT HAVE TIRES

TAKEN OUT, SO WE MAY MAKE AN ALREADY BAD SITUATION WORSE.

SO I JUST WANT TO SAY, DO WE FEEL FAIRLY CONFIDENT THAT THIS

CAN OCCUR, AND IF NOT, THEN WE NEED TO KNOW NOW. I THINK

THAT'S THE MOST PRUDENT WAY TO PROCEED, IS LET'S GIVE

OURSELVES EITHER SUFFICIENT TIME NOW, OR WAIT.

MR. CAMBRIDGE: I HAVE NO PROBLEM MEETING THAT CRITERIA. HOWEVER, IT'S TO MR. PORRAS WHETHER HE CAN DOCUMENT ALL THOSE PAPERWORKS IN THAT TIMELY MANNER.

CHAIRMAN EATON: WELL, MR. PORRAS, LET ME ASK YOU,

DO YOU UNDERSTAND WHAT WE'RE ASKING? DO YOU HAVE THE

DOCUMENTATION AT HAND, THAT IF WE WERE TO COME OUT THERE ON

MONDAY AS REGARDS THE MANIFESTS FOR ALL OF THE TIRES THAT

HAVE GONE OUT YOUR DOOR FROM 1998 THROUGH THE PRESENT? DO

YOU HAVE THOSE RECORDS --

MR. PORRAS: FOR MONDAY?

CHAIRMAN EATON: -- AVAILABLE AND READY? YEAH.

MR. PORRAS: FOR MONDAY I SHOULD HAVE THEM READY.

FOR MONDAY.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

MEMBER JONES: THAT'S FINE.

CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY.

22 MR. PORRAS: DO YOU WANT TO GO -- YOU'RE GOING TO

23 GO MONDAY OR...?

CHAIRMAN EATON: DO YOU UNDERSTAND WHAT WE'RE

DOING HERE? WOULD YOU LIKE ME TO REPEAT IT --

MR. PORRAS: I THINK YOU'RE LOOKING FOR THE MANIFESTS OF ALL THE TRAILERS THAT HAVE BEEN -- ALL THE TIRES THAT HAVE BEEN REMOVED.

CHAIRMAN EATON: BUT THIS IS A LEGAL PROCEEDING, SO LET ME KIND OF EXPLAIN WHAT I BELIEVE TO BE THE CASE.

MR. PORRAS: OKAY.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

CHAIRMAN EATON: WHAT WE ARE DOING IS, WE ARE IN A PUBLIC HEARING NOW WITH REGARDS YOUR PERMIT. WE ARE GOING TO CONTINUE THIS HEARING TILL NEXT THURSDAY, WHICH IS MAY 6TH.

MR. PORRAS: SO I COME BACK NEXT THURSDAY, OR -MEMBER JONES: YES.

CHAIRMAN EATON: YOU COME BACK NEXT THURSDAY.

MR. PORRAS: OKAY. I COME BACK.

CHAIRMAN EATON: AND BETWEEN NOW AND NEXT THURSDAY OUR STAFF WILL, ON MONDAY, COME TO YOUR FACILITY AND DO AN INSPECTION.

MR. PORRAS: OKAY.

CHAIRMAN EATON: THE INSPECTION WILL INCLUDE NOT
ONLY A REVIEW OF YOUR MANIFESTS, BUT ANY OTHER, YOU KNOW,
PERTINENT INFORMATION AS IT RELATES TO HOW MANY TIRES ARE
THERE, YOUR ASSERTIONS THAT YOU HAVE CLEANED UP, AND THAT
YOU ARE NOW WITHIN THE PROPER RANGE PER YOUR PERMIT, AND ALL

THE OTHER CONDITIONS OF YOUR PERMIT ARE IN GOOD STANDING OR ARE WITHIN THE CONDITIONS OF YOUR PERMIT.

MR. PORRAS: OH, I UNDERSTAND. I UNDERSTAND.

CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY. I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE

BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, YOU'RE NOT --

MR. PORRAS: MAKE SURE, YEAH.

CHAIRMAN EATON: -- OFF THE HOOK AT ALL.

MR. PORRAS: I KNOW.

CHAIRMAN EATON: IF FOR ONE REASON OR ANOTHER
THOSE MANIFESTS ARE NOT AVAILABLE SO THAT WE CAN MAKE A
DETERMINATION, IF THERE'S INSUFFICIENT DATA AND/OR THERE ARE
ADDITIONAL VIOLATIONS, OR SOME OF THE ASSERTIONS THAT WERE
MADE HERE ARE FOUND NOT TO BE THE CASE, THEN ON THAT
THURSDAY WE WILL TAKE WHATEVER ACTION WE DEEM APPROPRIATE.

MR. PORRAS: I UNDERSTAND.

CHAIRMAN EATON: SO THIS IS A PRETTY SERIOUS SITUATION HERE.

MR. PORRAS: I KNOW.

CHAIRMAN EATON: AND --

MR. PORRAS: I HAVE TO COME UP WITH ALL THE

21 MANIFESTS, RIGHT?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN EATON: UM-HUM. YES. WHAT WE ARE
LOOKING FOR, SOME ADDITIONAL DATA AS TO, YOU KNOW, WHAT YOUR
SITUATION IS, AND SOME OF THE ASSERTIONS THAT YOU HAVE MADE.

ANY OTHER -- DOES THAT -- ANY OTHER REQUIREMENTS THAT WE -- MS. SMALL?

MS. SMALL: NOT THAT I CAN THINK OF. I WILL -- I WOULD LIKE TO CAUTION THE BOARD THAT I BELIEVE -- MR.

CAMBRIDGE BELIEVES, I DISAGREE, BUT HE BELIEVES THAT OUR HEARING WITH MR. COFER IS ON THE 6TH, I THINK IT'S THE 13TH.

BUT IF IT IS THE 6TH WE WILL NEED TO GO TO THE NEXT BOARD MEETING.

CHAIRMAN EATON: WE DON'T HAVE A 13TH -- WE DON'T HAVE A MEETING ON THE 13TH. DO WE HAVE A SEPARATE MEETING -

MS. SMALL: NO, I MEAN OUR HEARING AGAINST MR.

COFER FOR PENALTIES I BELIEVE IS ON THE 13TH, MR. CAMBRIDGE

BELIEVES IT'S ON THE 6TH, I DON'T HAVE MY CALENDAR WITH ME.

BUT IF IT IS ON THE 6TH HE WON'T BE HERE.

MS. TOBIAS: -- SAYS THE 13TH.

MS. SMALL: OH, IT IS THE 13TH? OKAY, THEN WE DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM. THANK YOU.

CHAIRMAN EATON: -- CALENDARS. OKAY.

LET ME ASK COUNSEL FOR SOME DIRECTION. THE ACTION THAT THE BOARD HAS TO TAKE TODAY IS JUST A SIMPLE CONTINUANCE, AND STATE FOR THE RECORD THE CONDITIONS BY WHICH THE CONTINUANCE IS BEING PUT FORTH?

MS. TOBIAS: CORRECT.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY. IS THERE A VOTE REQUIRED?

MS. TOBIAS: I THINK IT'S SUFFICIENT THAT THE

DISCUSSION WILL SHOW THAT EVERYBODY'S IN AGREEMENT ON THIS,

AND THAT YOUR VOTE WILL BE TAKEN WHEN YOU ACTUALLY TAKE THE

ACTION.

CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY.

MEMBER PENNINGTON: FOR THE RECORD, MR. CHAIRMAN,

I'M IN FAVOR OF THE CONTINUANCE.

CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY.

MEMBER JONES: YEAH, FOR THE RECORD, SO AM I.

CHAIRMAN EATON: WELL, THEY'RE ALL IN FAVOR OF THE

CONTINUANCE --

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MEMBER ROBERTI: YEAH.

CHAIRMAN EATON: YEAH, ALL RIGHT. SO IT IS WITH UNANIMITY -- HMM, THERE'S A WORD FOR YOU THAT YOU DIDN'T BRING UP ON YOUR BIRTHDAY TODAY -- THAT -- THAT'S A BUREAUCRATIC TERM, BY THE WAY.

MEMBER JONES: YOU'LL NEVER HEAR ME SAY THAT WORD.

CHAIRMAN EATON: ANYWAYS, THAT WE WILL CONTINUE

THE HEARING ON THE REVOCATION OF ECONOMY TIRE SERVICE MINOR

WASTE TIRE FACILITY, AND THAT DURING -- TO MAY 6TH, THURSDAY

NEXT, MAY 6TH. AND WHAT WE WILL DO IS TO -- IN THE

AFTERNOON, OKAY? -- WILL BE AT APPROXIMATELY 2:00 P.M., SO

THAT WOULD GIVE YOU AN OPPORTUNITY TO COME UP, WE HAVE SOME

OTHER BUSINESS ON THE BOARD. AND THAT AS -- AND DURING THE TIME THAT THE CONTINUANCE IS IN EFFECT, THAT WE WILL CONDUCT AN INSPECTION AND THAT YOU WILL MAKE ALL RECORDS AVAILABLE THAT YOU HAVE, AND INCLUDING ALL MANIFESTS, BOTH IN AND OUT, THAT YOU MAY HAVE IN YOUR POSSESSION, AND AN INSPECTION BY THERE -- AND ANY INFORMATION THAT YOU DEEM APPROPRIATE. UNDERSTOOD?

MR. PORRAS: UNDERSTOOD.

CHAIRMAN EATON: OKAY. WITH THAT WE CAN -- MS. SMALL, GO AHEAD.

MS. SMALL: THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR TIME.

AND I WOULD LIKE TO STATE FOR THE RECORD THAT WE ARE KEEPING

THE RECORD OPEN THROUGH THE CONTINUANCE.

CHAIRMAN EATON: CORRECT. THANK YOU.

ALL RIGHT, MEMBERS, THAT SEEMS TO COMPLETE TODAY'S BUSINESS. AND WE WILL STAND ADJOURNED UNTIL 9:30 TOMORROW MORNING WHEN WE WILL BEGIN THE SECOND DAY OF OUR BOARD MEETING. THANK YOU.

(WHEREUPON, THESE PROCEEDINGS WERE ADJOURNED AT 3:00 O'CLOCK P.M.)

21 - - -

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20